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“TIME IS NOT ON ANYONE’S SIDE. WE MUST PRESS ON WITH VIGOUR IN RAPIDLY ACCELERATING STILL FURTHER THE DEPLOYMENT OF CCS.”

When I was writing the Foreword to the 2020 Global Status of CCS Report, the world was in the grip of the COVID-19 pandemic and COP 26 was almost certainly going to be cancelled. We were all hopeful that the end of the pandemic would be in sight during 2021 and that life would return to a much more normal rhythm. ‘Build back better’ was the general call as governments around the world injected significant fiscal measures into their economies and we all saw the opportunity for the future to be characterised not by a return to business as usual but a hard break from the past with emphasis on clean energy-driven economies.

Fast forward 12 months and the focus on delivering the Paris Agreement objectives has intensified, evidenced by more commitments from governments and corporations alike. The acceleration in climate action commitment is unprecedented in my view. As yet, universal public commitment to the key temperature objectives and Net Zero Emissions (NZE) around mid-century has not been reached. But what is encouraging is the near daily announcements by countries and companies of commitments to these objectives.

Setting targets and making commitments to achieving objectives decades into the future is necessary. Having actionable plans that will deliver on those commitments is the next, exceptionally important step. Without this, the commitments are worthless. There remains a long road ahead on the action plans journey, but again early progress is broadly encouraging.

This year’s Global Status of CCS Report reveals that just as the acceleration in climate action commitment is unprecedented, so too is the growth in the CCS facility and project catalogue. In all the years that the Institute has been recording and publishing the data on CCS facilities and projects, never before has such a big acceleration in climate action commitment is unprecedented, evidenced by more commitments from governments and corporations alike. The acceleration in climate action commitment is unprecedented in my view. As yet, universal public commitment to the key temperature objectives and Net Zero Emissions (NZE) around mid-century has not been reached. But what is encouraging is the near daily announcements by countries and companies of commitments to these objectives.

Setting targets and making commitments to achieving objectives decades into the future is necessary. Having actionable plans that will deliver on those commitments is the next, exceptionally important step. Without this, the commitments are worthless. There remains a long road ahead on the action plans journey, but again early progress is broadly encouraging.

This year’s Global Status of CCS Report reveals that just as the acceleration in climate action commitment is unprecedented, so too is the growth in the CCS facility and project catalogue. In all the years that the Institute has been recording and publishing the data on CCS facilities and projects, never before has such a big single year increase in the project pipeline been recorded.

This is the natural outworking of the commitments being made to address emissions and achieve NZE. It confirmns the findings of modelling undertaken by a variety of different, independent agencies: CCS is a necessary element of the technology suite that must be deployed if the world is to achieve the Paris Objectives.

As impressive as the past year’s progress with accelerating the CCS project pipeline is, the stark reality is that enormously more CCS facilities are required – at least a 100-fold increase over the 27 in operation today – by 2050. Without this, the world is extremely unlikely to achieve the key targets in the Paris Agreement with the well documented serious consequences of such an outcome.

Increasingly the focus for the application of CCS is in the industrial or ‘difficult to decarbonise’ sectors. For the most part CCS is the ‘go-to’ solution where electrification is not a viable solution, often when high heat or chemical reactions dependent on the presence of carbon are required. In other instances, CCS has very low cost and demonstrated mature technology strongly in its favour. And because these heavy industries often congregate together, CO2 networks have quickly become a significant element in CCS deployment. While we reported similarly in 2020, this year has seen significant strides taken in progressing many of these CCS network projects and new ones, like the Houston Ship Channel project, being announced.

The world continues to employ fossil fuel-based electricity generation plants at enormous scale. While in some countries these are declining, in other parts of the world coal and gas fired power plants remain a central, and in some cases growing, part of electricity systems. While power generation did not feature significantly in our reports for some years, this changed in 2020 and further new projects have been announced that are included in this report. This is good news as there will be a large and increasingly urgent need to address power sector emissions in, for example, much of Asia where early retirement of relatively young coal and gas plants is unlikely. Technology deployment in developed nations will make for lower cost application elsewhere.

We know based on reputable analysis, including from the IPCC, that carbon dioxide removal will be required to meet the Paris targets. We also know that nature-based solutions alone will not be enough. Bioenergy with CCS – BECCS – has long been understood to be an important element of this. It is also increasingly apparent that direct air capture will need to play a significant role. Pleasingly, the development and deployment of direct air capture of CO2 is gaining momentum, albeit off a small base. Significant capital investment in nascent direct air capture developers is being seen and substantial new projects are being progressed. The decreasing cost curve for direct air capture is notable and important.

As I sign off from my final edition of the Global Status of CCS Report, I am hugely encouraged that CCS is now on a strong growth trajectory after enduring some very difficult years. Over the past decade I have seen CCS move from being falsely identified only as a coal fired power generation technology to being increasingly embraced as a vital element of meeting the climate challenge due to its versatility of application, demonstrated effectiveness and ability to deal with enormous volumes of emissions. Recently, its role in removing CO2 from the atmosphere has added yet another string to its bow.

Time is not on anyone’s side. We must press on with vigour in rapidly accelerating still further the deployment of CCS.
“THE CLIMATE ACTION EFFORTS WE’RE SEEING GLOBALLY, WHILE ENCOURAGING, ARE NOT ENOUGH. THE SOONER WE INCLUDE CARBON CAPTURE USE AND STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES INTO THE FOLD OF WIDE-SPREAD DECARBONISATION INITIATIVES, THE MORE LIKELY WE WILL BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE PARIS AGREEMENT CLIMATE TARGETS AND GET TO NET ZERO EMISSIONS.”

HRH, The Prince of Wales
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CCS ADVOCATE

TINA BRU
NORWEGIAN MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND ENERGY

“CCS IS A CRITICAL CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION TOOL THAT PROVIDES SIGNIFICANT EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS FOR ENERGY INTENSIVE SECTORS.”

The Norwegian government recognises that ambitious, comprehensive and bold steps are required to reach climate neutrality by 2050, and carbon capture and storage technology will be a key part in that effort. CCS is a critical climate change mitigation tool that provides significant emissions reductions for energy intensive sectors. For over 20 years, Norway has been successfully deploying CCS in the country’s climate mitigation plans and actions. With a continued commitment to reduce emissions, Norway’s CCS Longship project will support the European region in its decarbonisation efforts by providing extensive CO₂ storage capacity. Working alongside a wide range of climate mitigating approaches, CCS technology will play a central role in the low-carbon transition, both in Norway and beyond. The Global Status of CCS Report highlights the positive steps being taken to tackle climate change around the world, while shedding light on the urgent need to accelerate the deployment of CCS to reach 2050 climate targets.

“For over 20 years, Norway has been successfully deploying CCS in the country’s climate mitigation plans and actions.”

— Tina Bru
2.0 GLOBAL STATUS OF CCS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 GLOBAL STATUS OF CCS
2.1 CCS, NET ZERO AND ECONOMIC PROSPERITY 10
2.2 GLOBAL CCS FACILITIES UPDATE AND TRENDS 12
2.3 INTERNATIONAL POLICY UPDATE 22

3.0 REGIONAL OVERVIEWS
3.1 NORTH AMERICA 26
3.2 ASIA PACIFIC 32
3.3 EUROPE AND NEARBY REGIONS 38
3.4 GULF COOPERATION COUNCIL STATES 44

4.0 PATHWAYS IN FOCUS
4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE 50
4.2 FINANCING CCS 50
4.3 CCS NETWORKS 53
4.4 INDUSTRY 54
4.5 HYDROGEN 55
4.6 TECHNOLOGY-BASED CO₂ REMOVAL 58
4.7 MINERAL CARBONATION 59

5.0 APPENDICES
5.1 COMMERCIAL CCS FACILITIES AND PROJECTS 62
5.2 CCS NETWORKS 68
5.3 CO₂ GEOLOGICAL STORAGE 70

6.0 REFERENCES 76
2.0 GLOBAL STATUS OF CCS

2.1 CCS, NET ZERO AND ECONOMIC PROSPERITY

2.1 CCS, NET ZERO AND ECONOMIC PROSPERITY

CCS IS AN ESSENTIAL CLIMATE MITIGATION TOOL

The CCS project pipeline mirrors climate ambition, growing steadily since the 2015 Paris Agreement. Civil society’s calls for government and the private sector to align their policies and practices with climate stabilisation have grown in number and volume, especially since the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change’s (IPCC) special report. This 2018 publication reviewed scientific literature to develop an authoritative projection of the impacts from global warming. Four pathways show how global anthropogenic emissions must change through this century to achieve a 1.5°C Celsius climate outcome. All require a rapid decrease in emissions to net zero by 2060 (2). The IPCC also estimated that 5-10 gigatonnes (Gt) of carbon dioxide (CO₂) must be removed from the atmosphere each year in the second half of this century to:

- offset residual emissions that are very difficult to abate — hard to avoid emissions such as those from agriculture and air travel
- reduce the total load of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere to below the carbon budget for 1.5°C global warming — correcting for the overshoot.

Government and private sector responses to pressure for climate change action have resulted in a wealth of commitments to net zero emissions.

The International Energy Agency (IEA) reports that, by late April 2021, 44 countries and the European Union had announced net zero emissions targets. Ten legislated, eight propose to make them a legal obligation and the rest pledged net zero targets in government policy documents. These commitments cover approximately 70 per cent of global CO₂ emissions (4).

The Climate Ambition Alliance, which brings together countries, regions, cities, businesses and investors to work towards achieving net zero emissions by 2050, has almost 4,000 participants, including over 2,300 companies and 700 cities (5). The leaders of these organisations have pledged to reach net zero emissions by mid-century.

CCS IN NATIONAL LONG-TERM STRATEGIES (AS OF JULY 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY OF PARTICIPANT</th>
<th>NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regions</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investors</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisations</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companies</td>
<td>2357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Participants</td>
<td>3993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Setting a net zero target is an essential first step. Achieving net zero emissions will require many specific actions, in all sectors, over decades.

It is no coincidence that recent growth in net zero commitments has been accompanied by an unprecedented spike in CCS activity. When organisations consider adopting net zero, they commonly do an analysis where they catalogue emissions, identify mitigation options for each, then rank them for cost and efficacy. CCS often emerges as an essential part of the lowest cost pathway to net zero.

There is an increasing recognition by governments of CCS’s critical role. It now appears in 24 of 29 Long Term Low Emissions and Development Strategies (LLEDS) submitted under Article 4 of the Paris Agreement, as national governments decide how they’ll deliver their abatement commitments.

According to Pacala & Socolow (2004) found that CCS should be used in conjunction with other mitigation options. This finding has been reiterated many times by the IEA and others. Taking CCS, or any other option, off the table increases the cost of cutting emissions.

The CCS project pipeline reflects the increase in projects in development and the growing number of projects in construction or advanced development as of July 2021 (1).

The CCS project pipeline mirrors climate ambition, growing steadily since the 2015 Paris Agreement. Civil society’s calls for government and the private sector to align their policies and practices with climate stabilisation have grown in number and volume, especially since the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change’s (IPCC) special report. This 2018 publication reviewed scientific literature to develop an authoritative projection of the impacts from global warming. Four pathways show how global anthropogenic emissions must change through this century to achieve a 1.5°C Celsius climate outcome. All require a rapid decrease in emissions to net zero by 2060 (2). The IPCC also estimated that 5-10 gigatonnes (Gt) of carbon dioxide (CO₂) must be removed from the atmosphere each year in the second half of this century to:

- offset residual emissions that are very difficult to abate — hard to avoid emissions such as those from agriculture and air travel
- reduce the total load of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere to below the carbon budget for 1.5°C global warming — correcting for the overshoot.

The leaders of these organisations have pledged to reach net zero emissions by mid-century.
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NET ZERO BY 2050 REQUIRES STRONG ACTION BY 2030

Despite unprecedented growth in the CCS project pipeline for the last 12 months, there remains a massive gap between today’s CCS fleet and what is required to reduce global anthropogenic emissions to net zero. Limiting global warming to 2°C requires installed CCS capacity to increase from around 40 Mtpa today to over 5,600 Mtpa by 2050 (8). Between USD$655 billion and USD$1,280 billion in capital investment is needed to 2050 (9).

This figure may appear daunting but investing around one trillion dollars over almost 30 years is well within the capacity of the private sector – in 2018, it invested approximately US$1.85 trillion (10) in just the energy sector. In addition to enormous financial resources, the private sector has the expertise and experience to develop projects. In the face of rising expectations from stakeholders and shareholders to invest in assets that aid climate mitigation, the private sector is also actively seeking opportunities. All that’s needed is a business case.

If we assume there is a business case for investment, and that capital is not a big constraint, the largest barrier to meeting climate targets is time. Rapid growth of supporting infrastructure is required by 2030 to bring more projects into the development pipeline and get them operating by 2050. In many cases, supporting infrastructure is an investment prerequisite – not only for CCS but other essential parts of any net zero strategy. For example, investing in new renewable power generation means more electricity transmission lines, while ramping up clean hydrogen production and use requires new storage, transportation and distribution infrastructure. Faster rates of CCS facility development demand additional CO₂ transport and storage facilities. North America’s CO₂ transport pipeline network is estimated to need to grow from around 8,000 km today to 43,000 km by 2050. This scale is definitely achievable, being only slightly larger than Australia’s natural gas transmission network, which has over 39,000 km of pipelines (3).

Driving infrastructure development to support a net zero economy should be a priority of governments everywhere. There are many examples where their support or direct investment was required to de-risk and initiate industries, including road, rail, telecommunications, electricity generation and distribution, space exploitation and more recently, renewable energy. As these industries matured and became commercial, government intervention was replaced by increased private sector investment. Governments could similarly support the establishment of CO₂ transport and storage networks to service industrial CCS hubs.

A CCS network requires geological storage for CO₂. Identifying and characterising a storage resource requires tens to hundreds of millions of investment dollars. All funds are at risk as there is no guarantee of success. Unlike mineral or hydrocarbon exploration, exploring for pore space does not yet generally justify risking tens of millions of dollars. Government can assist by supporting the collection of geological data and making it available. Today’s CCS facilities benefited from geological data collected during oil or gas exploration and/or from government funded programs.

Large infrastructure projects like CCS facilities or pipeline networks, usually take seven to 10 years from concept study through feasibility, to design, construction then operation. There is no time to waste. Creating an enabling environment for investment in CCS facilities and other net zero aligned assets – particularly in supporting infrastructure – through both policy and funding, should be a high priority for governments between now and 2030.
Figure 6 summarises commercial CCS facilities in the Global CCS Institute’s database. There are 135 (two suspended) in the project pipeline. In the first nine months of 2021, 71 projects were added – with one former project removed because development ceased. These numbers represent an astonishing doubling of the total number of CCS facilities that are operating or in development since the 2020 Global Status of CCS Report was published.

The United States (US) again leads the global league table, hosting 36 of the added facilities. US success demonstrates convincingly that where policy creates a business case for investment, projects proceed. Other leading countries are Belgium with four, the Netherlands with five and the United Kingdom (UK) – eight.

Figure 7 shows the progress of commercial CCS facilities from 2010 to September 2021. Capacity decreased year on year between 2011 and 2017, likely due to factors such as the public and private sector focus on short term recovery after the global financial crisis. Since 2017 there has been growth at the early and advanced development stages. Importantly, Figure 7 does not include ten early development projects or five advanced development projects in the pipeline, for which no capacity has been announced. As such, it underestimates potential.

The large increase in commercial CCS facilities in the first half of 2021, has led to project pipeline capacity levels not seen since 2011 – 149.3 Mtpa. The project pipeline capacity annual average growth rate since 2017 has been 30 per cent.

Most growth so far in 2021 was in early development (25.9 Mtpa) and advanced development projects (9.0 Mtpa). Project numbers in construction, or operational, were stable. Given the long lead-times for CCS projects (up to ten years, depending on location) it will be a while before this growth in early and advanced development translates into operating projects. Nevertheless, the rapid increase in developments is positive news for action on climate change.

All facilities in the project pipeline, including newly listed ones are recorded in the Institute’s ‘CO2RE Database’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLANT</th>
<th>INDUSTRY</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>MEAN CO₂ CAPTURE CAPACITY (Mtpa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dave Johnson Plant</td>
<td>Electricity generation</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2 Net zero LNG</td>
<td>Natural gas processing</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NextDecade Rio Grande LNG</td>
<td>Natural gas processing</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keadby 3 Power Station</td>
<td>Electricity generation</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repsol Sakakemang</td>
<td>Natural gas processing</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barents Blue Clean Ammonia</td>
<td>Chemical production</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commitments to CCS flowed due to the 2015 Paris Agreement, the resulting national pledges to take climate action, and complimentary development of CCS-supportive policy in many regions of the world. More private investors now want CCS in their portfolios. There is increased interest in CCS as part of a broad suite of technologies and strategies that can help achieve net zero emissions solutions at the lowest possible risk and cost. Without CCS, net zero is practically impossible.
CCS PROJECTS ARE BECOMING MORE DIVERSE

As new projects are announced and developed, the range in the scale of facilities is becoming broader. Individual capture plants are larger, with facilities like Shell’s Rotterdam hydrogen project developing in the megatonne range. At the same time, networks like the US’s Summit Carbon Solutions are making smaller capture viable – their smallest capture plant has a capacity of just 90,000 tonnes a year. Capacities this small would be difficult to justify without supporting network infrastructure.

The recently approved Norcem Brevik project, part of the Langskip network in Norway, has CCS expanding into a new sector – cement manufacturing. As a significant global emitter with limited decarbonisation options, the cement sector’s use of CCS is an essential step towards net zero. The Norcem project is expected to provide valuable CCS learning and insights.
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### 2.2 Global CCS Facilities Update and Trends

#### The Rise of CCS Networks

Historically, CCS projects tended to be vertically integrated, with a capture plant having its own dedicated downstream transport system. This favoured large-scale projects, where economies of scale made downstream costs reasonable. Recently, there has been a trend toward projects sharing CO₂ transport and storage infrastructure: pipelines, shipping, port facilities, and storage wells. These ‘CCS networks’ mean smaller projects can also benefit from economies of scale.

The Porthos network in Rotterdam entered advanced development early in 2021. A shared pipeline will transport liquid CO₂ from four new blue hydrogen projects – Air Products, Air Liquide, ExxonMobil and Shell – under development in the Port of Rotterdam region, to storage about 20 km offshore, beneath the North Sea. The Netherlands Government committed €2.1 billion in grants to these four projects in support of this network (11).

Also in Rotterdam, TotalEnergies and Shell have partnered to develop the Aramis CCS Network; a world-scale network with a proposed capacity in excess of 20 Mtpa. This project is in Early Development. It proposes storage in the Rottliegendes Sandstones Formation beneath the North Sea at 3–4km depth. Transport modes will be mixed: a combination of liquefied CO₂ transported by barges, gas-phase CO₂ by onshore pipelines, and dense-phase CO₂ by offshore pipeline. It is expected to receive CO₂ from a range of hard-to-abate sectors such as waste to energy (WtE), steel, chemicals, oil refineries and cement.

When the Norcem Brevik cement plant in Norway (mentioned above) was funded by the Norwegian government in late 2020, the Langskip CCS network also took a step forward. Norcem Brevik will capture and liquefy 400,000 tonnes of CO₂ a year which will be transported to offshore storage beneath the North Sea. The other CCS network under development in this network – the Fortum Oslo Varme WtE capture project is in advanced development and also expected to capture and liquefy 400,000 tonnes of CO₂ a year. Langskip CCS network has been designed for an initial 1.5 Mtpa of storage (in one well) with plans for 5 Mtpa (multiple wells) in phase two (12).

Summit Carbon Solutions network, under development, is emerging as the world largest negative emissions network, with planned CO₂ capture capacity of 7.9 million tonnes a year. Supporting 31 separate projects for 5 Mtpa (multiple wells) in phase two (13).

When the Norcem Brevik cement plant in Norway (mentioned above) was funded by the Norwegian government in late 2020, the Langskip CCS network also took a step forward. Norcem Brevik will capture and liquefy 400,000 tonnes of CO₂ a year which will be transported to offshore storage beneath the North Sea. The other CCS network under development in this network – the Fortum Oslo Varme WtE capture project is in advanced development and also expected to capture and liquefy 400,000 tonnes of CO₂ a year. Langskip CCS network has been designed for an initial 1.5 Mtpa of storage (in one well) with plans for 5 Mtpa (multiple wells) in phase two (12).

Summit Carbon Solutions network, under development, is emerging as the world largest negative emissions network, with planned CO₂ capture capacity of 7.9 million tonnes a year. Supporting 31 separate bioethanol plants, it leverages the twin economies of low-cost capture capacity of 7.9 million tonnes a year. Supporting 31 separate capture projects in this network – the Fortum Oslo Varme WtE capture project is in advanced development and also expected to capture and liquefy 400,000 tonnes of CO₂ a year. Langskip CCS network has been designed for an initial 1.5 Mtpa of storage (in one well) with plans for 5 Mtpa (multiple wells) in phase two (12).

#### CCS Networks Around the World

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Capacity (Mtpa)</th>
<th>Sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTL</td>
<td>1.7 - 14.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota CarbonSafe</td>
<td>3.0 - 17.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Mid-Continent</td>
<td>1.9 - 19.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack Carbon Storage Hub</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CarbonSafe Illinois</td>
<td>2.0 - 15.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Storage Corridor</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabaishi CarbonSafe</td>
<td>1.5 - 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrobras Santos Basin</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyNet North West</td>
<td>4.5 - 10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Wales Cluster</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Zero Teesside</td>
<td>0.8 - 6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humber Zero</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Carbon Humber</td>
<td>Up to 18.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorn</td>
<td>5.0 - 10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langskip</td>
<td>1.5 - 5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp/LC</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porthos</td>
<td>2.0 - 5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athos</td>
<td>1.0 - 6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensand</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 Copenhagen</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenna Hub</td>
<td>Up to 4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Dhabi Cluster</td>
<td>2.7 - 5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xinjiang Junggar</td>
<td>0.2 - 3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CarbonNet</td>
<td>2.0 - 5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta Carbon Grid</td>
<td>More than 20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barents Blue</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dartagnan</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CarbonConnectDelta</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Ship Crayola CCS Innovation Zone</td>
<td>Up to 100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aramis</td>
<td>More than 20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton Hub</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Hub</td>
<td>5.0 - 10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In Operation**  **Advanced Development**  **Early Development**
The world is currently confronting two challenges of potentially immense proportions: the devastating health and social costs of the COVID-19 pandemic, and the mounting threats of climate change, environmental degradation, and biodiversity loss. A failure to tackle either of these crises strongly and effectively will weaken progress on the other; the response to both must be global, urgent and on a great scale.

Against this backdrop, the number of countries that have pledged to achieve net zero emissions has grown rapidly over the last 18 months and now covers around 70 per cent of global emissions of CO2. In September 2020 at the UN, President Xi committed China to achieving carbon neutrality by 2060. Korea and Japan followed and committed to hitting a 2050 target for net zero. The election of President Biden changed US policy; after rejoining the Paris Agreement the US has now committed to reaching net zero emissions by 2050. This is a step forward of huge significance.

At the G7 Summit in Carbis Bay, G7 Leaders pledged to protect our planet by supporting a green revolution that creates jobs, cuts emissions and seeks to limit the rise in global temperatures to 1.5 degrees. In a world of fractured politics, action on climate can now draw nations and peoples together and we have a chance to both manage the immense risks of climate change and find a new sustainable, inclusive, and resilient path to development and growth.

2021 offers unique opportunities through the G20 Summit in Rome and the COP26 in Glasgow to take bold action to “build back better” – to realise the growth and jobs story of the 21st century and ensure environmental sustainability.

Governments must put forward credible pathways to meet the climate net zero commitments, including the preparation and submission of well-specified national determined contributions (NDCs) ahead of COP26 and putting in place sufficiently strong and green recovery programmes for delivery.

It has been clear for some time that achieving net zero emissions by mid-century will require the rapid deployment of all available abatement technologies as well as the early retirement of some emission-intensive facilities and retrofitting others with technologies like CCS. It is also clear that carbon dioxide removal will be required, both through nature-based and technology-based solutions.

More investment is urgently needed in the green economy to boost low-carbon technologies, such as renewable energy and electric vehicles, and to invest in the necessary changes to infrastructure, such as home heating and CO2 pipelines and storage, in order to reach the targets of net zero emissions by 2050.

As a society, we have a fundamental responsibility towards future generations to tackle climate change. Time is short, but we have a chance to both manage the immense risks of climate change and find a new sustainable, inclusive, and resilient growth story of the 21st century.

We have green bonds and green loans, but we need to create more transition-labelled financial products that enable more investment in the companies doing the hard work of decarbonising using CCS. International climate agencies, like the IPCC, agree that a transition to a net zero economy will require a large scale-up of CCS facilities. Consequently, financing CCS is a critical component of emissions reductions.

Now more than ever it is clear that carbon capture and storage is needed urgently. Whether CO2 is captured from a point source or captured from the air, whether captured from an industrial source or captured from a power plant, whether captured using ecosystems or captured using reactive rocks, all of these will be essential technologies in one place or another around the globe. Accepting that different solutions are needed for different people in different regions around the world is key to an inclusive approach to making progress in scaling up CCS. We have to move beyond ‘this or that’ to ‘this and that’ and succeed in doubling the growth rate for new CCS deployments – a critical step in making sure that CCS contributes at the speed and scale needed to meet our climate targets.
BLUE HYDROGEN PROJECTS
Blue hydrogen involves the use of fossil fuels to produce clean hydrogen. The CO₂ emissions are captured and permanently stored. Many blue hydrogen projects are underway.

UK blue hydrogen projects will provide clean hydrogen fuel to help decarbonise other local businesses. All will store CO₂ beneath the North Sea, benefiting from economies of scale provided by their host networks. They include:
- Equinor’s Saltend hydrogen project – an anchor for the Net Zero Humber network
- BP developing a hydrogen plant as part of the Net Zero Teesside network
- Phillips 66 developing a blue hydrogen project at its Humber refinery.

The previously mentioned Porthos network is emerging as a globally important hydrogen hub. All four of its CO₂ capture sources are blue hydrogen plants – operated by ExxonMobil, Shell, Air Liquide and Air Products.

Complementing its blue hydrogen development in the Netherlands, Air Products recently announced a blue hydrogen project in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada (13). Based on autothermal reforming hydrogen technology, it will supply the Alberta region with industrial scale clean hydrogen to reduce greenhouse gas emissions there. The project incorporates a hydrogen-fuelled power station, to reduce the emissions intensity of the local power grid.

THE EMERGENCE OF STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS DRIVING CCS DEVELOPMENTS
Many blue hydrogen projects are underway. Those involving network projects – meaning it is increasing important to partner with a range of companies. Partnership activity is increasing between oil and gas, technology; shipping; electricity generators and distributors; and financial services providers.

In 2021 ExxonMobil established its new business – ExxonMobil Low Carbon Solutions (ELCS). ELCS will commercialise CCS technologies and develop new CCS projects (14). It has already announced plans for 20 new CCS developments worldwide and has $3 billion to invest by 2025. One initiative is the Houston Ship Channel CCS Innovation project – a proposal to develop a big CCS network in the Houston industrial cluster with capture sources in the Gulf of Mexico. Siemens and Aker Carbon Capture have partnered to develop CCS technology to capture CO₂ from gas turbines and gas power generation. G2, NETPower, Siemens and EJIM are working together to capture CO₂ at a liquefied natural gas (LNG) plant in Louisiana, US. Lufarge Holmen and Schuchbarger have partnered to develop capture plants at cement facilities in Europe and the US.

Italian oil major ENI is also moving into CCS in a big way. Its Ravenna Hub in Italy is set to use depleted natural gas fields for CO₂ storage. ENI has a memorandum of understanding with oil services company Saipem to facilitate CCS developments and has partnered with developing UK networks, MyNet North West and Net Zero Teesside. The company is also working with CMA CGM (Aframet) – through its part-interest in the Bayu-Undan offshore facilities in the Timor Sea between Australia and Timor-Leste.

Other partnerships:
- In 2021 Shell expanded its activities in CCS when it became a foundation partner of the Porthos network blue hydrogen project leading CO₂ to a shared CO₂ infrastructure.
- BP continues to develop CCS projects under its leadership of the UK’s Net Zero Teesside network, along with partners ENI, Equinor, Shell and Total.
- The Greensand project brought together Ineos, Maersk Drilling and Winterhalter DEA to develop a CCS network in Denmark with storage in the North Sea.
- Valero, Black Rock and Navigator partnered to develop a CO₂ pipeline project in the US mid-west to transport CO₂ from bioethanol plants.
- Bechtel and Drax are working together to develop large-scale bioenergy with carbon capture and storage projects (BECCS) – ongoing at the Drax biomass power station, but also new projects in Europe and North America.
- Mitsubishi and South Pole partnered to develop a carbon dioxide removal (CDR) purchasing facility. Project developers access revenue, while also providing removal credits at the scale companies need in order to meet their net zero commitments.

All these CCS partnerships demonstrate the importance of networks. They deliver the economies of scale essential to reducing CO₂ transport and storage costs. Ever larger network developments around the world will also help the CCS sector adapt to net zero targets.

2.3 INTERNATIONAL POLICY UPDATE

An increasing number of countries rely on CCS technologies in their long-term climate policies for reducing emissions from the energy and industrial sectors, and for carbon removal via BECCS and Direct Air Carbon Capture and Storage (DACCCS). The growing pipeline of CCS projects is having an impact on the international climate policy setting.

One of the goals of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change’s (UNFCCC) Conference of the Parties (COP 26) in Glasgow – in addition to raising climate ambition and mobilising industrial finance – is finalising the Paris Agreement rulebook. The most relevant negotiation stream for the CCS community is Article 6 which governs voluntary cooperation between countries to meet emissions reduction targets:
- Potential for greenhouse gas emissions reduction and enhanced removals is not evenly spread. A global response, helping countries do this cooperatively, can lead to greater joint ambition for global climate change mitigation (15).
- Access to CO₂ storage is also not evenly distributed. Carbon markets can incentivise developing CCS projects around the world to produce emission reductions and/or removals. Carbon credits can be used by host countries, or sold to others, to help meet climate targets.

Fourteen countries – Australia, Bahrain, Canada, China, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Malawi, Mongolia, Norway, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, United Arab Emirates and the US – had CCS in their Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) as of July 2021. More countries are expected to submit theirs as COP 26 approaches, potentially highlighting the role of CCS technologies in their decarbonisation targets.

Figure 11 shows CCS within the NDCs of Parties to the Paris Agreement. CCS features strongly in the long-term low emissions development strategies (LEDs) submitted so far. These documents have a longer time scale than NDCs and look at the path to 2050 and beyond. As of June 2021, over 80 per cent highlight the role of CCS technologies in national decarbonisation plans.

A closer look at the LEDs reveals:
- 18 countries see a role for CCS in industrial decarbonisation
- 12 feature BECCS and/or DACCCS to remove CO₂ from the atmosphere
- nine countries consider using CCS alongside energy production from fossil sources

CCS provides the foundation for technology-based CDR, through BECCS and DACCCS. Interest in these two has surged in the last couple of years. When designing and implementing policies to deliver both net zero targets and then negative emissions, focus has been mostly on reducing emissions. Reducing emissions will drive climate ambition in the next decades, but CDR will need to deliver from there on. Once net zero goals are achieved, CDR will be the main driver (16). Unfortunately, governance and policy incentives for CDR have been slow to emerge.

A full overview of CCS’s recognition in NDCs and LEDs will be available once more countries have sent in their documents. Although due in 2020, only 88 Parties of 192 had submitted updated NDCs and just 29 their LEDs, as of May 2021. Reasons for the delay include the postponement of COP 26 to 2021, the time required to understand the impact of the global pandemic and the lack of availability of various pandemic recovery funds in upcoming submissions.

While some developed countries have taken significant steps to deploy CCS, developing countries lag far behind (17). Yet, the world’s emerging economies have a clear need for it (18). They represent high-risk environments for investments, which further extends the funding gap where companies with smaller or more constrained balance sheets are not able to fund their CCS facilities without project finance. This limits recourse to the project that is being funded, as discussed in Section 4.2. Climate finance plays an essential role in helping to close funding gaps and can support CCS investments.

The Green Climate Fund (GCF) is the UNFCCC’s most prominent vertical fund. It was developed specifically to assist developing countries to meet their Paris Agreement commitments. The GCF can support the delivery of CCS projects through a range of financial instruments; including grants, loan guarantees, concessional loans and equity investments. By partnering with private sector investors, the GCF offers a blended finance approach, combining different sources of capital to reduce risk and make climate efforts viable.

CCS projects can also be financed via carbon credits, a form of carbon finance. Credits are used to offset emissions either locally or elsewhere in the world. Credential schemes can be used within the climate finance framework to drive a business case for CCS projects, and then capital can be raised. Carbon crediting forms the basis of an international carbon market, through compliance or voluntary carbon markets (VCMs) or via bilateral agreements between countries.

Ambitious climate targets by nations, corporations, cities and regions have to lead to exponential growth in VCMs. A major initiative is the Mark Carney-led Task Force on Scaling Voluntary Carbon Markets – highlights DACCCS and BECCS as important growth categories, good for short to mid-term scaling of CDR (19). DACCCS and BECCS do not appear under the five largest VCM standards but are already operational and issuing credits outside them.

The IPCC released the findings from Working Group I of the Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) in early August. Members of the Institute’s team have participated as expert reviewers, submitting two round of comments to the party of AR6 most relevant for CCS – the Working Group III contribution on climate change mitigation (20). The authors of AR6 now have an increasing body of literature on all aspects of CCS – way more than was available when AR5 and the special report on 1.5°C were prepared. The contributions of the three IPCC Working Groups to the AR6 are expected to be finalised in 2021 and their concluding synthesis report completed in the first half of 2022.
More than 40 new projects and networks have been announced since the release of the 2020 Status Report.

**US ENERGY ACT PASSED**
**AUTHORIZING MORE THAN $6 BILLION IN CCS RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION**

Two large-scale CCS networks with bioenergy were announced in the US Midwest, facilitated by low CO₂ capture costs from ethanol production and potential access to 45Q and LCFS incentives.

**TWO LARGE-SCALE CCS NETWORKS**
**FACILITATED BY LOW CO₂ CAPTURE COSTS FROM ETHANOL PRODUCTION & POTENTIAL ACCESS TO 45Q AND LCFS INCENTIVES**

Support for CCS in Canada greatly accelerated with newly proposed CCS incentive policies and continued investment in CCS technologies. Large and diverse CCS projects and network elements were announced – with the Province of Alberta leading the way.

**CCS ACCELERATION TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS POLICY**
**MORE CCS INTEGRATION AT LNG FACILITIES**

The US Energy Act of 2020 passed, which authorised more than US$6 billion for CCS research, development and demonstration.

More than 40 new CCS networks and projects have been announced since the publication of the 2020 Global Status of CCS Report – a marked upward trend in North America. Many factors combined to enable CCS development in the US and Canada, including enhanced government climate change priorities, the return to the Paris Agreement by the US, finalisation of 45Q regulations, and anticipated global demand for low carbon fuels and products. Investment in CCS technologies was also stimulated by growing awareness of the challenges of decarbonisation.

**REGIONAL OVERVIEW AND TRENDS**

CCS networks – some of the largest ever – were announced amid increasing support for policy environments and against a backdrop of ambitious climate change targets. Announced networks included large clusters of emitters located near options for infrastructure and geological storage (→ see ‘Large-Scale CCS Networks’ breakout).

Two large-scale CCS networks in the US Midwest were also announced, facilitated by potential access to 45Q and the California low carbon fuel standard (LCFS), and the relatively low cost of CO₂ capture from ethanol plants (→ see ‘Bioenergy and CCS Networks’ breakout).

Anticipated buyer demand for manufactured products and fuels with a lower CO₂ footprint accelerated CCS projects in hard-to-abate sectors, as buyers more definitively considered the carbon footprints of products and their supply chains. Several pilot and commercial projects were announced and initiated by the cement industry, which despite the challenges of higher capture costs, has taken a proactive approach to CCS implementation in response to expected future demand for low carbon cement products.

Large-scale, low carbon fuel projects also emerged as a market approach with the announced integration of CCS into planned liquefied natural gas (LNG) projects (→ see ‘Role of CCS in Low Carbon LNG’ breakout). Multiple, large-scale projects incorporating CCS to produce other low carbon fuels were also announced (1)(2).

Technologies that capture CO₂ directly from flue gas streams using solid adsorbents or other innovative methods received commercial support from public and private investments. Support for continued deployment of the Allam-Fetvedt Cycle (2) was confirmed by the announcement of a feasibility study in Canada and two at-scale projects in the US utilising this pre-combustion CCS technology (3),(4),(5).

CCS technologies capable of delivering negative emissions, including both direct air capture (DACCS) and bioenergy with CCS (BECCS), were supported by corporate net zero pledges from a broad set of industries, including major technology and online retail. Investments by technology companies in carbon removal technologies are an example of this trend (6),(7).

While attention was understandably focused on new project announcements, it is worth noting that more than half of the anticipated buyer demand for low carbon fuels and products.

**The ‘lookback period’ is the portion of the recapture period during which the IRS can reclaim section 45Q credits after a leakage event (12).**

**The Allam-Fetvedt Cycle is an innovative natural gas (or syngas from gasification of coal) fired power generation technology with inherent CO₂ capture.**

**1986 (8). While the Petra Nova and Lost Cabin facilities remain inactive, several other CCS facilities in the Americas also reached impressive storage milestones in the past year. More than 40 million tonnes of CO₂ from the Great Plains Synfuels Plant, 20 million tonnes from the TerraN Energy Gas Processing Plant, and 11 million tonnes from the Enid Fertilizer Plant have been captured and stored to date.**

**UNITED STATES**

**Policy**

Major growth for CCS policy support emerged in the US. In the 2021 financial year (FY 21) Congress appropriated US$228.3 million for carbon capture, utilisation, and storage (CCUS), a US$10.5 million increase from the previous year’s funding for the Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management (9). Using this, and prior fiscal year funds, the US Department of Energy (DOE) committed or awarded co-funding agreements for front-end engineering and design (FEED) studies for technologies to capture CO₂ from industrial and natural gas sources, DAC and CO₂ utilisation and geological storage. The DOE also released a Hydrogen Strategy (10) that detailed the role for CCS as part of the transition to a hydrogen economy.

The US Energy Act of 2020 (11) passed in December 2020 as part of the Stimulus Bill. More than US$6 billion was authorised for CCS research, development, and demonstration (RD&D) programs in the DOE and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for FY 21 – FY 25. This significant funding milestone includes:

- US$2.6 billion for six commercial-scale demonstrations (natural gas, coal, industrial)
- US$1 billion for large-scale pilot projects
- US$910 million for DOE low-TIR level R&D
- US$800 million for a large-scale carbon storage and validation program
- US$200 million for FEED studies
- More than US$1 billion for other activities.

The Treasury and Internal Revenue Service (IRS) provided, in January 2021, long-awaited regulatory certainty regarding implementation of 45Q tax credits (12). The ruling included important clarifications about geological storage certification, aggregation of multiple projects, reduction of the lookback period for credit reclaim, and a broader definition of carbon utilisation. The US Energy Act of 2020, referred to above, extended the beginning of construction deadline to 1 January 2026 (11).

Clear support emerged for CCS with major bills introduced in Congress during 2021. Collectively, this legislation (none of which had yet been signed into law at the time this document was finalised) includes elements that support the deployment of CCS including:

- Modifications to 45Q that:
  - Significantly raise the credit value for geological storage, utilisation and DAC
  - Provide a direct pay option
  - Extend the beginning of construction deadline to ten years
  - Allow the credit to be more easily offset tax obligations for multinational corporations
- The US Energy Act of 2020, referred to above, extended the beginning of construction deadline to 1 January 2026 (11).


LARGE-SCALE CCS NETWORKS

Recognition of the emissions mitigation and economic benefits of CCS was illustrated by the announcement of several large-scale CCS networks. The largest of these was ExxonMobil’s proposal for a Houston Ship Channel CCS Innovation Zone which seeks to bring together multiple stakeholders in support of a concept to capture up to 100 Mtpa of CO₂ with permanent geological storage in offshore Gulf of Mexico formations (19, 20). Since the initial announcement in April 2021, ten additional companies have expressed interest in participating in this project.

Elements of three large-scale CCS networks were announced in Alberta, Canada. Shell Canada announced Polaris CCS, a two-phase project at its Scotford Complex near Edmonton. The first phase would capture about 0.75 Mtpa of CO₂ from the Scotford refinery and chemicals plant. The second phase would create a CO₂ storage hub to further decarbonise Shell’s facilities and provide third-party storage. Fully built, the hub could store up to 10 Mtpa of CO₂ with a capacity of about 300 MtCO₂ over the life of the project.

Pembina and TC Energy revealed plans to jointly develop the Alberta Carbon Grid (ACG), an open-access, large-scale system that would transport more than 20 Mtpa of CO₂ to a sequestration location northeast of Redwater and to other third-party sequestration locations (27).

The Pathways CCS system was announced by the Oil Sands Pathways to Net Zero, an alliance of Canadian oil sands producers. The proposed CO₂ trunkline would link as many as 20+ oil sands facilities to a storage site near Cold Lake. The first phase of the project would capture 8.5 Mtpa of CO₂ from eight facilities, and fully built, the project would capture up to 40 Mtpa of CO₂ (28).

financing CO₂ infrastructure and storage and funding for permitting these projects6
modifications to existing 48A tax credits for CCS equipment on coal-fired power plant retrofits
enabling the use of a tax-advantaged, master limited partnership structure
purchase of tax-exempt private activity bonds7 to finance CCS retrofits.

Regulatory developments

A critical step for CCS project development is obtaining permits for CO₂ injection wells through EPA’s Underground Injection Control Class VI program. EPA manages the Class VI well permitting process with the exception of delegated primacy to North Dakota and Wyoming. Louisiana has submitted a Class VI Pramacy Application to EPA (13). In response to increased interest in Class VI well permits, EPA has added information to its website including a Class VI permit application outline, a table of permitted and proposed Class VI wells and video tutorials (14).

The Texas General Land Office issued in April 2021 its first Request for Proposal (RFP) to establish and operate a geological CO₂ storage repository under submerged land in offshore Jefferson County, including the construction of transportation and storage infrastructure (15). This RFP was the first of its kind for a potential CO₂ storage site in offshore Texas waters.

Geological storage developments

Large volume, highly permeable deep saline formations with high CO₂ injectivity potential are critical resources for CCS networks and projects. Characterisation studies undertaken by the DOE’s National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), and further potential storage formation exploration and appraisal by NETL’s CarbonSAFE program, have advanced the identification of suitable US onshore greenfield CO₂ storage sites. These studies should provide a higher level of confidence to support CCS development.

CANADA

Policy

The Government of Canada released A Healthy Environment and a Healthy Economy in December 2020 (16). This policy document proposed the development of a comprehensive CCUS strategy for Canada and launched a Net Zero Challenge for large industrial emitters to encourage plans for net zero emissions by 2050. A ‘Strategic Innovation Fund – Net Zero Accelerator’ was also announced to provide CA$3 billion over the next five years to fund initiatives including decarbonisation projects for large emitters. The Hydrogen Strategy for Canada was released in December 2020 by Natural Resources Canada (17). It described Canada’s blue hydrogen production experience and the continued potential for CCS as part of an expanded, low carbon intensity hydrogen strategy.

We have little time left to avoid some of the worst impacts of climate change and its threats to our communities, our public health and our economies. We can tackle this challenge by avoiding carbon emissions through point source carbon capture coupled to reliable storage (CCS) and removing CO₂ from the accumulated pool in the atmosphere (CDR). We know CDR will be critical to address the hard-to-abate sectors on the path towards net zero carbon emissions. To accomplish this, we need to move CCS and CDR out of their silos and expand focus on decarbonising supply chains, including building materials, chemicals, and fuels if done strategically and collaboratively, deploying these approaches will not only help us address the climate crisis, but it will also spur the creation of high-quality clean economy jobs – helping those populations and communities that have been disproportionately affected by climate change.

6 These elements were passed by the Senate in August 2021 as part of the Bipartisan Investment Infrastructure and Jobs Act.
7 Tax-exempt private activity bonds are tax-free bonds issued by local or state governments, with lengthy payback periods.
BIOREFINERIES AND CCS NETWORKS

More certainty around the 45Q tax credit, the relatively low CO₂ capture cost from bioethanol production, and the opportunity to access the California LCFS via the production of low carbon ethanol, has enabled the proposed development of two large-scale CCS network projects in the US Midwest:

- Summit Carbon Solutions announced a project that would link more than thirty biorefineries, with a total CO₂ capture of about 8 Mtpa, across the US Midwest to geological storage sites in North Dakota (34). This project would potentially be both the largest CCS network and the largest BECCS project in the world.
- Navigator CO₂ Ventures – in collaboration with Valero and BlackRock – has proposed a CCS network spanning more than 1,930 km (1,200 miles) across five states in the US Midwest. The Heartland Greenway Pipeline would transport CO₂ from biorefineries and other industrial facilities in Iowa, Illinois, Nebraska, Minnesota, and South Dakota to a geological storage site in Illinois with a capacity of up to 5 Mtpa (35, 36).

NextDecade announced the integration of CCS into its planned LNG facilities. The Rio Grande LNG project in Texas as part of an approach to decarbonise its LNG supply chain. The project would capture up to 5 Mtpa of CO₂ (33).

Venture Global LNG announced plans to capture and sequester an estimated 0.5 Mtpa of CO₂ from two facilities under construction – Calcasieu Pass LNG and Plaquemines LNG (34).

ROLE OF CCS IN LOW CARBON LNG

With growing market interest in lower carbon LNG, the integration of CCS was either announced or under consideration this past year for more LNG facilities than ever before:

- NextDecade announced the integration of CCS into its planned Rio Grande LNG project in Texas as part of an approach to decarbonise its LNG supply chain. The project would capture up to 5 Mtpa of CO₂ (33).
- Venture Global LNG announced plans to capture and sequester an estimated 0.5 Mtpa of CO₂ from two facilities under construction – Calcasieu Pass LNG and Plaquemines LNG (34).

Proposed regulations for the Clean Fuel Standard were issued by the Canadian Government in December 2020 (18), with a target to publish final regulations in late 2021, and reduction requirements coming into force on 1 December 2022. One pathway to create compliance credits for the Clean Fuel Standard is to undertake projects that use CCS to reduce the lifecycle carbon intensity of fossil fuels (19). Canada’s recent Budget 2021 (20) also proposed an investment tax credit – to be effective in 2022 – for capital invested in CCUS projects with the goal of reducing CO₂ emissions by at least 15 Mtpa.

The Government of Alberta (Alberta) announced, in September 2020, that it was investing up to CA$750 million from its Technology Innovation and Emissions Reduction (TIER) program for industrial CO₂ reductions, including CA$80 million for a new Industrial Energy Efficiency and Carbon Capture Utilisation and Storage Grant Program (21). Grants would be for improvements at facilities regulated, or eligible to be regulated, under TIER. TIER would also invest CA$9.5 million through Emissions Reduction Alberta to support CCUS projects.

Alberta also moved forward with policies to enable CCS, including the ongoing development of a Hydrogen Roadmap to define how Alberta will build a low-carbon hydrogen industry (22). Alberta Energy also issued Information Letter 2021-19 that described a planned Carbon Sequestration Tenure Management process (23). Through a competitive process, the Alberta government would issue carbon sequestration rights to advance development of carbon storage hubs. The process would apply only to dedicated geological storage hubs and not to projects that store CO₂ for enhanced oil recovery (EOR). The process remained in development at the time of publication.

Regulatory developments

Following court challenges by several provinces, the Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act 2018 (GGPPA) was found in March 2021 to be constitutional by the Supreme Court of Canada (24). The GGPPA sets minimum national standards for emissions from carbon-based fuels and CO₂ emitting industries. The court’s ruling held that climate change is a matter of national concern. The affirmation of this legislation will enable the proposed increase in Canada’s carbon price from CA$40 per tonne of CO₂ as of 1 April 2021 to a proposed CA$170 per tonne of CO₂ by 2030 (25).

ROLE OF CCS IN LOW CARBON LNG

With growing market interest in lower carbon LNG, the integration of CCS was either announced or under consideration this past year for more LNG facilities than ever before:

- NextDecade announced the integration of CCS into its planned Rio Grande LNG project in Texas as part of an approach to decarbonise its LNG supply chain. The project would capture up to 5 Mtpa of CO₂ (33).
- Venture Global LNG announced plans to capture and sequester an estimated 0.5 Mtpa of CO₂ from two facilities under construction – Calcasieu Pass LNG and Plaquemines LNG (34).

Proposed regulations for the Clean Fuel Standard were issued by the Canadian Government in December 2020 (18), with a target to publish final regulations in late 2021, and reduction requirements coming into force on 1 December 2022. One pathway to create compliance credits for the Clean Fuel Standard is to undertake projects that use CCS to reduce the lifecycle carbon intensity of fossil fuels (19). Canada’s recent Budget 2021 (20) also proposed an investment tax credit – to be effective in 2022 – for capital invested in CCUS projects with the goal of reducing CO₂ emissions by at least 15 Mtpa.

The Government of Alberta (Alberta) announced, in September 2020, that it was investing up to CA$750 million from its Technology Innovation and Emissions Reduction (TIER) program for industrial CO₂ reductions, including CA$80 million for a new Industrial Energy Efficiency and Carbon Capture Utilisation and Storage Grant Program (21). Grants would be for improvements at facilities regulated, or eligible to be regulated, under TIER. TIER would also invest CA$9.5 million through Emissions Reduction Alberta to support CCUS projects.

Alberta also moved forward with policies to enable CCS, including the ongoing development of a Hydrogen Roadmap to define how Alberta will build a low-carbon hydrogen industry (22). Alberta Energy also issued Information Letter 2021-19 that described a planned Carbon Sequestration Tenure Management process (23). Through a competitive process, the Alberta government would issue carbon sequestration rights to advance development of carbon storage hubs. The process would apply only to dedicated geological storage hubs and not to projects that store CO₂ for enhanced oil recovery (EOR). The process remained in development at the time of publication.

Regulatory developments

Following court challenges by several provinces, the Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act 2018 (GGPPA) was found in March 2021 to be constitutional by the Supreme Court of Canada (24). The GGPPA sets minimum national standards for emissions from carbon-based fuels and CO₂ emitting industries. The court’s ruling held that climate change is a matter of national concern. The affirmation of this legislation will enable the proposed increase in Canada’s carbon price from CA$40 per tonne of CO₂ as of 1 April 2021 to a proposed CA$170 per tonne of CO₂ by 2030 (25).
The Asia Pacific region includes countries with some of the largest and fastest growing greenhouse gas emission inventories in the world. CCS will be particularly important to achieve ambitious climate targets. Although the last 12 months have seen several positive developments in the region, investment in commercial CCS facilities lags behind North America and Europe.

**CCS PROJECT PIPELINE GROWTH**

Five new large-scale facilities in the Asia Pacific region have been added to the Institute’s CO₂RE Database. One important factor that differentiates CCS development in Asia Pacific from Europe or North America, is that the majority of new projects are emerging in developing countries where emissions growth is the most rapid and policy support is insufficient.

**AUSTRALIA**

Projects

New CCS facilities and hubs have been announced:

- **Bridgeport Energy** is developing its Moonie Project, a natural gas processing plant in southeast Queensland. The project is scheduled to start injection in 2023, ramping up to 1 Mtpa by 2028.
- **Santos and Erie** have formed a partnership to develop a CCS storage hub at the Bayu-Undan field in the Timor Sea, offshore Timor-Leste, storing CO₂ from their own operations and potentially from other emitters (38). Details about the hub are still emerging.

Previously announced facilities have progressed:

- **Santos’ 1.7 Mt CO₂ injection project** in the Cooper Basin, which will store CO₂ from natural gas processing, has completed FEED, obtained environmental approval from the South Australian Government and is expected to make a final investment decision before the end of 2021.
- **Chevron’s Gorgon CCS Project** had technical difficulties in pressure management and will not meet the government requirement that at least 80 percent of reservoir CO₂ over every five years (rolling average) should be sequestered underground (39). Nevertheless, the project had injected close to 5 Mt of CO₂ as of mid-July 2021.

**CHINA LAUNCHES EMISSIONS TRADING SYSTEM**

China launched its emissions trading system, covering 2,225 power plants, which collectively emit over 4,000 million tonnes of CO₂ per annum.

The first commercial CCS projects were announced in both Indonesia and Malaysia.

- **Guodian Taizhou Power Station Carbon Capture** in China is targeting around 1 Mt CO₂ injection, sourced from power stations nearby, for CO₂-EOR and storage in southeast Queensland. The project is scheduled to start injection in 2023, ramping up to 1 Mtpa by 2028.
- **Repsol Sakakemang Carbon Capture and Injection** in Indonesia is for CO₂-EOR and storage in the Gresik basin, with the first injection in 2023, ramping up to 1 Mtpa by 2028.
- **Bridgeport Energy Moonie CCUS Project** in Australia is targeting around 1 Mtpa CO₂ injection, sourced from power stations nearby, for CO₂-EOR and storage in southeast Queensland. The project is scheduled to start injection in 2023, ramping up to 1 Mtpa by 2028.
- **PAU Central Sulawesi Clean Fuel Ammonia Production with CCUS** in Indonesia is targeting around 1 Mtpa CO₂ injection, sourced from power stations nearby, for CO₂-EOR and storage in southeast Queensland. The project is scheduled to start injection in 2023, ramping up to 1 Mtpa by 2028.

**NEW COMMERCIAL CCS PROJECTS IN THE ASIA PACIFIC REGION (JUNE 2021)**

**FIGURE 12**

**AUSTRALIA INCLUDES CCS IN EMISSIONS REDUCTION FUND**

The Australian Government has included CCS in the Emissions Reduction Fund, providing the first financial incentive scheme for CCS in the Asia Pacific region.

**CO₂RE DATABASE IN THE ASIA PACIFIC REGION**

It continues to be a regional driver of CCS, promoting regional collaboration and exploring low-carbon energy exports.
PETRONAS KASAWARI PROJECT

Petronas’ first large-scale CCS project is in the Kasawari PH2 Field in offshore Sarawak. The field has an estimated reserve of three trillion cubic feet and contains high levels of CO₂. To monetise these high CO₂ gas resources, Petronas must abate reservoir CO₂ emissions.

Gas production is expected to commence in 2023, producing up to 900 million standard cubic feet per day. The gas will be processed and liquefied at Petronas LNG Complex in Bintulu, Malaysia. The project plans to inject around 4.25 million tonnes of CO₂ per annum (Petronas, 2023).

Petronas is working with several partners on aspects of the project and plans to commence injection in 2025. It is likely to be Southeast Asia’s first large-scale project sequestering CO₂.

MALAYSIA AND INDONESIA

Projects

It has been an exciting year for CCS in several Southeast Asian countries, with commercial facilities announced for the first time. Petronas has started working on its first CCS project (see breakout) and two potential regional offshore CCS hubs in Malaysia. The proposed hubs have the potential to store CO₂ from other countries in Southeast Asia and the broader Asia Pacific region.

Repsol announced its 2.5 Mtpa project in Sakekamang, South Sumatran, Indonesia. This facility will capture CO₂ from Repsol’s natural gas processing plant and permanently store it nearby in oilfields. It is well positioned to be an anchor for a South Sumatran CCS hub, reducing emissions from gas processing, power stations and other emitting sectors.

The Repsol project demonstrates the trend for large corporations, headquartered in developed countries with net zero commitments, to develop emissions-reducing CCS projects even in the absence of policy support, where CO₂ capture costs are very low. A feasibility study for the PAU Central Sulawesi Clean Fuel Ammonia Production with CCUS was initiated by a Japan-Indonesia consortium. They aim to capture CO₂ emissions from ammonia production and store it around Central Sulawesi.

Regulatory developments

Public and private-sector stakeholders widely agree that the absence of CCS-specific law and regulation is a critical barrier to the deployment of CCS projects in this region. While a draft CCS regulation was introduced in Indonesia in 2019, it has yet to be formally endorsed by the relevant minister and President. A draft Presidential Regulation on Carbon Economic Value (a carbon pricing scheme) is likely to be issued in Indonesia after the pandemic-driven health crisis has stabilised. Once a Presidential Decree is issued, the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources may set up specific regulations to address terms related to CCS/CCUS. In Malaysia, development of a CCS-specific regulatory framework has begun, projected for completion in the first half of 2022. The proposed legislation will most likely be based upon the existing oil and gas production regime.

To help address this critical barrier and promote more widespread understanding of CCS-specific legal and regulatory issues, the Institute is partnering with the Association of Southeast Asian Nations Centre for Energy to establish the Southeast Asia Regulators’ Network. The network will:

- act as a conduit between regulators in the region as they further their knowledge of CCS legal and regulatory frameworks and permitting practices
- seek to develop key legal principles and guidelines to assist policymakers and regulators in the region.

CHINA

Projects

President Xi’s September 2020 commitment for China to achieve carbon peak before 2030 and carbon neutrality before 2060 has triggered renewed CCS interest and activity in China. This has raised the profile and relevance of subsequent CCS project development milestones:

- China Energy Investment Corporation’s Jinjie post-combustion carbon capture facility commenced commissioning in early 2021, and completed a 168-hour test run in June 2021. The facility has the capacity to capture 150,000 tonnes of CO₂ per year.
- Sinocap started constructing China’s first 1 Mtpa CO₂-EOR project in Shandong Province. The project will capture CO₂ from Qilu Fertiliser Plant and inject CO₂ into Shengli Oilfield for CO₂-EOR and storage. It is scheduled to commence operation towards the end of 2021 (45).
- Hebei Iron and Steel Group announced its plan to build CCS demonstration projects at its steel plant by 2030 (46).

Policy

As a result of China’s carbon neutrality pledge, various Chinese Government ministries have become more active in building understanding of CCS’s role in decarbonisation, laying the groundwork for policy development. For the first time, China’s Five-Year Plan (its fourteenth) includes large-scale CCUS demonstration projects (47).

In May 2021, the Ministry of Ecology and Environment (MEE), with several other ministries, announced support for CCUS pilot and demonstration projects in free trade zones (48). CCUS was also included in the China-US Joint Statement Addressing the Climate Crisis, issued in April 2021 (49). In June 2021, the National Development and Reform Commission issued a notice to request CCUS project information, with the aim of supporting major projects in the near future (50).

Realising carbon neutrality requires using multiple technologies, among which CCUS will play an indispensable part. Because of its own characteristics, CCUS will exert a decisive influence in large-scale emissions reductions for the energy and industrial sectors including power, steel, cement and chemicals. In addition, it will serve as an integral technology pathway for reaching carbon neutrality goals. CCUS has benefited from a great deal of experience in policy, technology and engineering perspectives. It has a great development potential with a future full of opportunities and challenges.

CCS ADVOCATES

PROF. JIN HONGGUANG

Member of CHINA ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, Chair Commissioner, CCS PROFESSIONAL COMMITTEE, CHINESE SOCIETY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

“REALISING CARBON NEUTRALITY REQUIRES USING MULTIPLE TECHNOLOGIES, AMONG WHICH CCUS WILL PLAY AN INDISPENSABLE PART.”

Prof. Jin Hongguang

PROF. JIUTAIN ZHANG

Secretary General, CCUS PROFESSIONAL COMMITTEE, CHINESE SOCIETY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

“CCUS WILL PLAY A CRITICAL ROLE IN REACHING NET ZERO”

Julian Zhang

6 Some projects announced by major Chinese corporations toward the end of 2021 may not be included in this report.
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The long-awaited national emissions trading system (ETS) commenced operation on 16 July 2021. Though only covering the power sector, it may be expanded into other industrial sectors. To make CCUS eligible under the ETS, a methodology needs to be developed. A CCUS standardisation working group promoted by the China National Carbon Emissions Standardization Technology Committee; National Energy Infrastructure and Management Standardization Committee; and National Environment Management Standardization Committee is developing CCUS standards for the emerging industry (51).

International corporations are starting to build collaborative relationships with Chinese suppliers and consumers on climate change and CCUS. A good example of this trend is the partnership between BHP and China Baowu Steel Group which will support research into applying CCUS to one of China Baowu’s production facilities (52).

JAPAN

Projects

Japan continues to be a transnational CCS driver, via its clean energy programs:

- In October 2020, 40 tonnes of blue ammonia – ammonia made from hydrocarbons with associated CO2 emissions captured and stored underground – produced by Saudi Basic Industries Corporation, was shipped from Saudi Arabia to Japan for zero-emission power generation, marking a first for both countries (53).
- In December 2020, Japan Oil, Gas and Metals National Corporation (JOGMEC), Inukstuk Oil Company, Togo Engineering Corporation and Totsu Corporation agreed on a joint low-carbon ammonia value chain feasibility study between eastern Siberia and Japan. It includes the production of ammonia from natural gas and capturing associated CO2 emissions for CO2-EOR in Russia (54).
- In July 2021, INPEX, JERA, JOGMEC and Abu Dhabi National Oil Company (ADNOC) agreed to a joint study exploring the commercial feasibility of clean ammonia production from natural gas, with associated CO2 capture and sequestration for storage and CO2-EOR in the United Arab Emirates (55).

Policy

The Japanese Government continues to promote bilateral and multilateral CCUS collaborations. Japan is using its Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) to support the Gundih Project in Indonesia and continues to explore further similar JCM funding opportunities. In June 2021, the Japanese Government launched the Asia CCUS Network to support capacity development and promote knowledge sharing (56).

CROSS-BORDER CO2 TRANSPORT AND STORAGE

Countries with limited storage potential are investigating the transport and storage of their CO2 to other nations. This has led to renewed interest in considering and addressing legal barriers to transboundary CO2 movement and storage, respecting the London Protocol – an international marine agreement that governs the dumping of wastes in the marine environment – and relevant domestic laws and regulations. Greater collaboration will be critical. The proposed Bayu-Undan project is one cross-boundary project in the region. It will consider domestic and international legislation when sending CO2s from Australia to storage in Timor-Leste.

GEOLOGICAL STORAGE DEVELOPMENTS

A regional storage potential assessment was completed by ExxonMobil, the National University of Singapore and the Institute. The assessment indicated great possibilities around southeast Asia. High-level estimates identified several locations, like South Sumatra, that offer low-cost storage hub opportunities.

Japan's first full-chain CCS Project, the Tomakomai CCS Demonstration Project, displays the safety and reliability of CCS technology for offshore CO2 storage in our earthquake-prone country.

With ever rising expectations toward CCS technology as described in the IEA Special Report on CCUS, we recognise that our mission has reached a new phase.

Against this backdrop, Japan CCS established a consortium which was adopted to conduct the Japanese government’s demonstration project of CO2 ship transportation, a crucial technology for achieving the government’s goal of early social implementation of CCUS. Additionally, we also wish to implement the demonstration of carbon recycling technology utilising this CO2 and hydrogen obtained from existing facilities.

Japan CCS is also a proud supporting member of the ‘Asia CCUS Network’ which was launched in June 2021. The Network provides support for the deployment of CCUS in Asian countries.

We look forward to introducing these new developments in a forthcoming update of the of the Global CCS Institute’s CO2RE Database of Projects.

“WITH EVER RISING EXPECTATIONS TOWARD CCS TECHNOLOGY AS DESCRIBED IN THE IEA SPECIAL REPORT ON CCUS, WE RECOGNISE THAT OUR MISSION HAS REACHED A NEW PHASE.”

Toshiaki Nakajima

President, JAPAN CCS CO., LTD. (JCCS)

FUTOSHI NASUNO

Director-General, INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY AND ENVIRONMENT BUREAU, MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, TRADE AND INDUSTRY

In October 2020, amid an accelerated trend toward decarbonisation, Japan declared an aim of carbon neutrality by 2050 and is furthering its status as a regional leader in clean energy programs. CCS is a vital technology for the realisation of carbon neutrality and Japan has been implementing efforts for its practical use, such as demonstrating the injection of 300,000 tonnes of CO2 in Tomakomai City and the shipping of CO2 that connects emission sources and storage sites.

In Asia, CCUS will be an essential technology for maintaining strong economic growth while achieving decarbonisation. Recognising this, Japan is playing a key role in promoting regional collaboration, providing opportunities to share technologies, experiences and insights. The recently established ‘Asia CCUS Network (ACN)’ seeks to contribute to the deployment of CCUS in Asia by sharing knowledge, conducting studies and undertaking capacity building.

“In Asia, CCUS will be an essential technology to maintaining strong economic growth while achieving decarbonisation.”

Futoshi Nasuno
3.3 EUROPE AND NEARBY REGIONS

The EU made climate neutrality by 2050 a legally binding target, along with reducing 2030 net GHG emissions at least 55 per cent compared to 1990 levels.

The UK outlined its intention to establish four CCUS projects in development across Europe. Blue Hydrogen features prominently.

Construction is underway on the Norwegian project, Langskip. The Northern Lights Joint Venture, established by Equinor, Shell and Total to manage the associated transport and storage facility, is in discussions with potential customers, representing 48 Mt of CO₂, more than current annual storage worldwide.

Plans to build Europe's first large-scale direct air capture facility in Scotland were unveiled. The Dreamcatcher project will use nearby renewable energy and CCS infrastructure to capture 0.5–1 Mt of atmospheric CO₂ each year.

There are now 35 projects in development in Europe. The European Union made climate neutrality by 2050 a legally binding target, along with reducing 2030 net GHG emissions at least 55 per cent, compared to 1990 levels. Its long-term low GHG emission development strategy submitted under the Paris Agreement – and those of 14 countries in the European region – includes CCS as a technology that can help Europe reach its climate goals. While growing recognition of CCS's role in decarbonisation is strengthening its policy support, more progress is required.

The UK government set an ambitious target for a 68 per cent reduction in GHG emissions by the end of 2030. It published a ten-point plan for a green industrial revolution, outlining its intention to establish CCUS in two industrial clusters by the mid-2020s, with four such sites by 2030, capturing in excess of 10 Mt of CO₂. To enable this, the government also announced a £1 billion CCS infrastructure fund. At the time of writing, the Government is selecting the first clusters to develop, with submissions from DelpHYnus, the East Coast Cluster, Hynet, the Scottish Cluster and V Net Zero all meeting eligibility criteria. It is anticipated that successful clusters will be announced in Quarter 4 2021 and that first investment decisions will be made early 2022.

Projects There are currently 35 projects in development in Europe and the United Kingdom. Most are using North Sea storage, though there are other regions where CCS is happening:

- In Iceland, there is a project to develop the CODA Terminal, a cross-border carbon transport and storage hub. CO₂ shipped to Iceland would be dissolved in water then injected into basaltic bedrock, using the Carbfix mineralisation technique (see section 4.7).

**HYDROGEN PRODUCTION**

Blue hydrogen features prominently in CCS deployment plans across Europe. In the majority of locations, blue hydrogen will be the lowest cost clean hydrogen production option. Low production cost is critical to underpin rapid demand growth for clean hydrogen along with the production capacity to meet that demand.

- In March, BP announced plans to develop a major blue hydrogen production facility in Teesside. Using Net Zero Teesside to store associated CO₂, the project aims to deliver 20 per cent of the UK’s hydrogen production target by 2030.
- In June, Equinor announced plans to triple capacity at its proposed Hydrogen to Humber facility. Following the H2Zero new steel feasibility study evaluating the use of blue hydrogen at the Dussberg steel plant, German gas transmission system operator OGE, steel producer ThyssenKrupp, and Equinor announced their ongoing cooperation. Their project’s value chain could be established by 2027, exporting CO₂ for storage in Norway or the Netherlands.

**POWER STATIONS**

Important power projects involving CCS emerged across the UK. These were stimulated by UK Government efforts to establish its dispatchable power agreement, which recognises the importance of thermal generation in supplementing high penetrations of renewables.

- In April, Equinor and SSE Thermal unveiled plans to develop two low-carbon power stations in the Humber region – Kaadby Hydrogen would be the world’s first major 100 per cent hydrogen-fired power station, with peak demand of 1,800 MW of hydrogen. It would create significant demand for the Hydrogen to Humber CCS facility mentioned above.

**PROJECTS**

There are currently 35 projects in development in Europe and the United Kingdom. Most are using North Sea storage, though there are other regions where CCS is happening:

- ENI’s Ravenna Hub project is likely to become one of the first CCS projects in the Mediterranean region. Now recognised as an Oil & Gas Climate Initiative kickstarter hub, the project will initially decarbonise ENI operations in Ravenna, Northern Italy. It offers the potential to handle emissions for third parties in the region.
- In Greece, Energean are evaluating ways to convert their Prinos asset for CO₂ storage and estimate that subsurface volumes could sequester up to 50 million tonnes.
- MOL, a Hungarian integrated oil and gas company, aims to capitalise on experience in carbon capture, gained in Croatia and Hungary, to provide CO₂ storage services to third parties.
- Horizons Energy are developing what may become Europe’s first blue ammonia plant, in Furrmark, Northern Norway. Collaborating with Equinor, the facility would store CO₂ in the Barents Sea using the Polaris facility. A final investment decision is anticipated late 2022, with operations starting in 2025.

The Northern Lights Joint Venture, which will manage the transport and storage facility, is in discussions with potential customers representing 48 Mt of CO₂ – more than the total current annual storage worldwide.

There are an increasing number of CO₂ removal projects in development across Europe. Blue Hydrogen features prominently.

**EUROPE’S FIRST LARGE-SCALE DAC ‘DREAMCATCHER’ CAPTURING 0.5–1 MTPA**

The UK Government announced a £1 billion CCUS infrastructure fund.

**INDUSTRIAL CLUSTERS BY 2030**

- ENI’s Ravenna Hub project is likely to become one of the first CCS projects in the Mediterranean region.
- The Northern Lights Joint Venture, established by Equinor, Shell and Total to manage the associated transport and storage facility, is in discussions with potential customers, representing 48 Mt of CO₂, more than current annual storage worldwide.
- Plans to build Europe’s first large-scale direct air capture facility in Scotland were unveiled. The Dreamcatcher project will use nearby renewable energy and CCS infrastructure to capture 0.5–1 Mt of atmospheric CO₂ each year.
- The UK government set an ambitious target for a 68 per cent reduction in GHG emissions by the end of 2030. It published a ten-point plan for a green industrial revolution, outlining its intention to establish CCUS in two industrial clusters by the mid-2020s, with four such sites by 2030, capturing in excess of 10 Mt of CO₂. To enable this, the government also announced a £1 billion CCS infrastructure fund. At the time of writing, the Government is selecting the first clusters to develop, with submissions from DelpHYnus, the East Coast Cluster, Hynet, the Scottish Cluster and V Net Zero all meeting eligibility criteria. It is anticipated that successful clusters will be announced in Quarter 4 2021 and that first investment decisions will be made early 2022.

**H2 ZERO NEW STEEL FEASIBILITY STUDY**

Following the H2Zero new steel feasibility study evaluating the use of blue hydrogen at the Dussberg steel plant, German gas transmission system operator OGE, steel producer ThyssenKrupp, and Equinor announced their ongoing cooperation. Their project’s value chain could be established by 2027, exporting CO₂ for storage in Norway or the Netherlands.

**POWER STATIONS**

Important power projects involving CCS emerged across the UK. These were stimulated by UK Government efforts to establish its dispatchable power agreement, which recognises the importance of thermal generation in supplementing high penetrations of renewables.

- In April, Equinor and SSE Thermal unveiled plans to develop two low-carbon power stations in the Humber region – Kaadby Hydrogen would be the world’s first major 100 per cent hydrogen-fired power station, with peak demand of 1,800 MW of hydrogen. It would create significant demand for the Hydrogen to Humber CCS facility mentioned above.

- In May, SSE Thermal and Equinor unveiled plans to co-develop a 500 MW gas-fired power station, fitted with carbon capture technology, at Peterhead. The project will capture up to 1.5 Mt/a, and store CO₂ via the Acom project. (Acom is a CCS project aiming to reuse infrastructure and enable decarbonisation from a cluster of Scottish emission sources.)

Plans to deploy CCS on power stations are emerging elsewhere in Europe too. For example, as part of North Italy’s Ravenna Hub project, there are plans to capture CO₂ from the Ravenna, Mantua and Ferrare combined cycle gas turbine power plants. CCS is also being considered as a way to decarbonise Belgian power stations.
VON ACHTEN  
CARBON CAPTURE SOLUTIONS FOR SCALE

Our industry is decarbonising to carbon neutrality in a responsible way. This will be a game changer for our industry and other countries such as Canada and the UK, we have recently announced making the next step with the world’s first carbon-neutral cement plant in Sweden. HeidelbergCement will be the leader in the global cement industry on its transformation path towards carbon neutrality. Changes would:

- increase the annual reduction rate of allowances to achieve the EU’s new 2030 target
- recognise CO₂ is transported not only by pipelines, and cover all means of CO₂ transport
- double the size of the innovation fund (see below)
- add a new carbon border adjustment mechanism to put a carbon price on imports of targeted products, such as steel and cement, to avoid ‘carbon leakage’.

Negotiations are ongoing, and the legislation should be finalised over the next few years. In the last year, the allowance price reached an all-time high. With greater national ambition and policy support, plus more awareness of climate risk amongst investors, hard to abate industries throughout Europe are increasingly exploring CCS.

As CCS projects adopt the network model, unit costs and risk are reduced. Many networks in development are examining the inclusion of CO₂ shipping to broaden their reach, and there is growing recognition of the role regional ports will play. Major CCS projects such as Antwerp@C, Cliffracap and Aramis are already being developed around major European ports.

The EU plans to funnel significant funds through EU banks and markets to achieve its climate ambitions. The EU Taxonomy clarifies which economic activities contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation. This science-based tool recognises CCS, thereby providing access to European Green Bonds. In July, the EU’s ‘Fit for 55’ legislative proposals were introduced, outlining changes relevant to CCS. Central to the package were modifications to the EU’s emissions trading scheme (ETS) representing 40 per cent of EU emissions. Changes would:

- add a new carbon border adjustment mechanism to put a carbon price on imports of targeted products, such as steel and cement, to avoid ‘carbon leakage’.

The EU plans to funnel significant funds through EU banks and markets to achieve its climate ambitions. The EU Taxonomy clarifies which economic activities contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation. This science-based tool recognises CCS, thereby providing access to European Green Bonds. In July, the EU’s ‘Fit for 55’ legislative proposals were introduced, outlining changes relevant to CCS. Central to the package were modifications to the EU’s emissions trading scheme (ETS) representing 40 per cent of EU emissions. Changes would:

CO₂ REMOVAL
An increasing number of CO₂ removal projects are in development across Europe:

- The Stockholm Exergy KVV8 facility is Europe’s largest biomass-based combined heat and power plant. A proposed BECCS project at this facility will remove up to 800,000 tonnes of CO₂ from the atmosphere each year.
- In Denmark, Orsted, Microsoft and Aker Carbon Capture are collaborating to examine BECCS deployment at various biomass fired power stations.
- The proposed BECCS project at Drax power station in Yorkshire, the UK’s largest, continues to progress. In June, Drax announced its collaboration with Mitsubitshi Heavy Industries to capture CO₂ at the plant. Reflecting its importance in national climate strategies, Drax also announced a strategic collaboration with Bechtel, exploring the construction of BECCS plants globally.
- Plans to build Europe’s first large scale DAC facility were unveiled by Storegga and Carbon Engineering, in mid-2021. Scotland-based Dreamcatcher will take advantage of abundant renewable energy and anticipated CCS infrastructure nearby, capturing between 500,000 and one million tonnes of atmospheric CO₂ each year.

WASTE TO ENERGY (WTE)
Adding CCS to WTE plants has the potential to make waste a zero or even negative emissions energy source, depending on the origin of the wastes utilised. Recognising this potential, a number of CCS projects involving such plants have emerged across Europe.

- The Amager Resource Center (ARC) in Copenhagen is potentially Denmark’s first CCS project. A pilot funded by the Energiteknologiske Udviklings- og Demonstrationsprogram (Energy Technology Development and Demonstration program) is currently operating. It is hoped that a full-scale facility capturing 500,000 tonnes of CO₂ a year will be operational by 2025, making a substantial contribution to Copenhagen’s ambition of becoming the world’s first carbon neutral capital.
- In the UK, SUEZ is developing a modular system to capture CO₂ from WTE plants with a demonstration project being considered at its Heyerton Hill facility on Teesside.
- Elsewhere in Europe, numerous early-stage studies into CCS on WTE plants are underway. For example in Switzerland, where many of the largest point emission sources are WTE plants, a study looked at applying CCS to the KVA Linth plant.

TRANSPORT AND STORAGE
With a growing appetite for capturing and sequestering CO₂, comes increased need for transport and storage infrastructure. Reflecting this, European CO₂ storage has rapidly evolved beyond the preserve of the world’s energy supermajors. Harbour Energy, Neptune Energy, MOL and Independent Oil and Gas are just some of the companies publicly expressing interest in using European assets for CO₂ storage.

As CCS projects adopt the network model, unit costs and risk are reduced. Many networks in development are examining the inclusion of CO₂ shipping to broaden their reach, and there is growing recognition of the role regional ports will play. Major CCS projects such as Antwerp@C, Cliffracap and Aramis are already being developed around major European ports.

CCS’s future looks likely to involve international networks spanning multiple industrial clusters and storage sites.

POLICY
European Union
The EU plans to funnel significant funds through EU banks and markets to achieve its climate ambitions. The EU Taxonomy clarifies which economic activities contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation. This science-based tool recognises CCS, thereby providing access to European Green Bonds. In July, the EU’s ‘Fit for 55’ legislative proposals were introduced, outlining changes relevant to CCS. Central to the package were modifications to the EU’s emissions trading scheme (ETS) representing 40 per cent of EU emissions. Changes would:

- increase the annual reduction rate of allowances to achieve the EU’s new 2030 target
- recognise CO₂ is transported not only by pipelines, and cover all means of CO₂ transport
- double the size of the innovation fund (see below)
- add a new carbon border adjustment mechanism to put a carbon price on imports of targeted products, such as steel and cement, to avoid ‘carbon leakage’.

Negotiations are ongoing, and the legislation should be finalised over the next few years. In the last year, the allowance price reached an all-time high. With greater national ambition and policy support, plus more awareness of climate risk amongst investors, hard to abate industries throughout Europe are increasingly exploring CCS.

At the time of writing, the first call for projects under the EU’s innovation fund is nearing completion with CCS projects, including Fortum Oslo Varme, reaching final stages. The second call for large-scale projects will be launched in October with a larger budget and a faster single stage application process (see Figure 14).
In 2019 CO2 emissions from industry were 188 Mtpa. The 2030 CCS technologies in industry as well as the more rapid and that it may become a competitive necessity in a world demanding be exported for storage in the North Sea. Unions recognise not development of infrastructure needed to enable captured CO2 in primary industries). This program supports the use of -Nutzung in Grundstoffindustrien' (use and avoidance of CO2 storage. Another amendment in 2009 - to address the ban on transboundary movement of CO2 for geological storage – resulted in a stalemate, with an insufficient number of Parties to enable a it into force. However, at the fourteenth Meeting of Contracting Parties in October 2019, agreement was reached and provisional application allowed. While this agreement effectively enables proponents wishing to transport CO2 across international boundaries to proceed, there are further issues to consider: • a declaration of provisional application and notification of any arrangements or agreements, must be provided to the International Maritime Organisation (IMO) • standards prescribed by the Protocol must be met • the focus for projects that include a transboundary element, will inevitably shift back to national implementation. National regulators and policymakers will be required to support projects by putting in-place necessary agreements and notifying the IMO. Expediting this process, particularly in jurisdictions where projects are in the advanced stages, such as Europe, will now be a near-term priority.

EUROPEAN REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

CCS-specific amendments to the 1998 London Protocol, an international marine agreement that governs the dumping of waste in the marine environment, have been important in developing wider legal and regulatory support for the technology. The original amendment to the Protocol, agreed by the Parties in 2006, removed a significant international barrier to deployment and provided one of the first examples of a regulatory regime for CO2 storage. CCS projects represented around 40 per cent of the overall SDE++ budget, but 70 per cent of CO2 reductions enabled through the subsidies. There are a number of factors likely to further enhance the value of the Porthos project:

• proposed onshore infrastructure has been oversized and is capable of handling 10 Mtpa. The CO2Transports, an EU common interest project, is working on how best to connect Porthos to the North Sea Port and Port of Antwerp where the Carbon Connect Delta and AntwerpCC consortia are developing local industrial carbon capture clusters
• with hydrogen set to play a key role in decarbonising the Netherlands (and more broadly Europe), there are plans to build an open access hydrogen pipeline through the port area – projects like H-Vision will position Rotterdam as a key European hydrogen hub
• as EU emissions allowances get more expensive, the SDE++ scheme’s contribution will reduce – at the same time, demand to store CO2 is expected to rise

With the business case for the Porthos project established, efforts are focused on finalising permits so a final investment decision can be made in early 2022. Construction will begin shortly thereafter with operation anticipated in 2024.

PORTHOS CASE STUDY

The Dutch Government’s Climate agreement – the Klimaatakkoord – outlines the aim to reduce GHG emissions 49 per cent by 2030, and 95 per cent by 2050, from 1990 levels. The Klimaatakkoord sets sector specific targets, including a required reduction from Dutch industry of 14.3 Mtpa by 2030. The Klimaatakkoord, and associated policy, allows up to 7.2 Mtpa to be mitigated through CCS.

The Port of Rotterdam, Europe’s biggest, is working with business and government to deliver important decarbonisation initiatives. The Port of Rotterdam CCS transport hub and offshore storage project, Porthos, is expected to be the first large scale CCS project in an EU member state. A partnership between the Port of Rotterdam, Gasunie and EBN, Porthos is ideally located to:

• capture CO2 from industry in the port
• transport it via pipeline
• store it deep underground in depleted offshore gas reservoirs.

Porthos received a major boost during June when the Dutch Government confirmed allocation of the SDE++ subsidy. Competitively awarded, SDE++ is funded by a surcharge on energy consumption and bridges the gap between the cost of EU ETS allowances and decarbonisation technologies. Porthos’ initial emission sources – facilities operated by Shell, ExxonMobil, Air Liquide and Air Products – received SDE++.

Notably in the first call the successful CCS projects, all associated with Porthos, represented the lowest cost means of decarbonisation. These subsidies, valued at around €2.123 billion and granted over a 15 year period, will enable storage of 2.34 Mtpa, the equivalent of €60 a tonne. CCS projects represented around 40 per cent of the overall SDE++ budget, but 70 per cent of CO2 reductions enabled through the subsidies. There are a number of factors likely to further enhance the value of the Porthos project:

• proposed onshore infrastructure has been oversized and is capable of handling 10 Mtpa. The CO2Transports, an EU common interest project, is working on how best to connect Porthos to the North Sea Port and Port of Antwerp where
• with hydrogen set to play a key role in decarbonising the Netherlands (and more broadly Europe), there are plans to build an open access hydrogen pipeline through the port area – projects like H-Vision will position Rotterdam as a key European hydrogen hub
• as EU emissions allowances get more expensive, the SDE++ scheme’s contribution will reduce – at the same time, demand to store CO2 is expected to rise

With the business case for the Porthos project established, efforts are focused on finalising permits so a final investment decision can be made in early 2022. Construction will begin shortly thereafter with operation anticipated in 2024.

### PORTHOS MAP


**NEARBY REGIONS**

**Russia**

Driven by a handful of companies, CCS is a growing part of energy discussions in Russia:

• Early in the year, Novatek indicated plans to capture carbon at its Yamal LNG facilities.

• During June, Novatek and Russian steelmaker PAO Severstal announced the signing of a memorandum of co-operation to develop alternative energy and GHG emissions reduction technologies. The parties will consider a joint pilot project to produce blue hydrogen from natural gas, using CCS.

• In June, Russian Energy giant Gazprom Neft established an agreement with Shell to explore the possibility of deploying CCS at their joint ventures in Russia. Gazprom Neft also indicated the companies will discuss using CCS in blue hydrogen production.

**Africa**

Many African countries face the challenging task of balancing increased energy access with decarbonisation and economic growth. Carbon capture has been slow to progress, but there are signs of projects emerging. For example, a project is currently being studied by ENI in Libya. Growing expectations of a global market for low carbon hydrogen are driving interest in blue hydrogen from oil and gas producing countries like Mozambique, Angola and Nigeria. Such countries are hopeful that the resources their economies depend on can be used for blue hydrogen production and thereby avoid becoming stranded assets as the world shifts to low carbon pathways.

---

The GCC region is poised for a significant take-off in CCS activity over the next decade. In late 2020, the leaders of the G20 endorsed the concept of the ‘circular carbon economy’ developed by Saudi Arabia’s King Abdullah Petroleum Studies and Research Center, which recognises and values all forms of CO2 mitigation.

The significance of the GCC region in the context of CCS deployment is often overlooked, both in terms of current scale and short-term prospects. Three existing CCS facilities in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and Saudi Arabia already account for around 10 per cent of global CO2 captured each year. Europe accounts for just four per cent. The GCC region is poised for a significant take-off in CCS activity over the next decade. Pressure for that growth arises from several sources:

- intensifying global decarbonisation commitments codified in the National Determined Contribution (NDC) Registry maintained by the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change;
- increasing regional action on climate change includes material increases in the contribution of renewables and CCS, especially for fossil fuel generation to domestic energy sectors;
- demand for CO2 for use in local EOR operations forecast to grow at least fivefold to 2030;
- a desire by both Saudi Aramco and ADNOC to continue reducing their carbon footprint for oil and gas production—already the lowest in the world;
- supporting growth in the production, and export, of low-carbon hydrogen by partnering natural gas reformation processes with CCS;
- building a broad base of ‘clean and competitive’ heavy industry to underpin industrial diversification plans;
- recent G20 endorsement of Saudi Arabia’s promotion of the Circular Carbon Economy—it provides a central role for CCS—as developed by King Abdullah Petroleum Studies and Research Center.

The concentration of CO2 emission sources in the GCC region is also conducive to CCS. As Figure 16 shows, more of 2025’s estimated CO2 emissions will come from power generation, rather than oil and gas operations, in four of five countries. As well as reducing the number of CCS facilities needed to decarbonise industry, the geographical concentration of major emitters along the Gulf coast could support the building of CCS infrastructure networks, reducing overall costs and providing incentives for new CCS projects.

Even without further CCS activity, these projects could raise overall regional CO2 capture to almost 10 Mtpa by 2030. There are two regional CO2 utilisation facilities where permanence of storage is not assured:

- Saudi Basic Industries Corporation captures 0.5 Mtpa of CO2 at its Jubail ethylene facility for use in methanol and urea production;
- Qatar Fuel Additive Company captures 0.2 Mtpa of CO2 at its methanol refinery.

It is widely anticipated that planned new coal generation plants in Oman and the UAE will be built with CCS to complement NDC ambitions. This could add another 5–10 Mtpa to the regional CO2 capture rate, taking it to 15–20 Mtpa even before any heavy industry CCS plans are added.

### GCC STATES POISED FOR SIGNIFICANT CCS ACTIVITY

- Qatar Gas captures 2.1 Mtpa of CO2 from the Ras Laffan gas liquefaction plant.
- Saudi Aramco captures 0.8 Mtpa of CO2 at its Hawiyah Naturals Gas Liquids plant. The CO2 is used to demonstrate the viability of EOR at the Uthmaniyah oil field.
- In Phase I (of at least three phases) of ADNOC’s Al Reyadah project, 0.8 Mtpa of CO2 is captured at the Emirates Steel plant in Abu Dhabi.

Both the Ras Laffan and Al Reyadah projects are already developing expansion plans:

- Qatar Gas expects to expand its capture rate to 5 Mtpa by 2025;
- ADNOC estimates that Phase II of Al Reyadah could see capture of another 2.3 Mtpa of CO2 by 2025 and Phase III could add another 2 Mtpa of CO2 from the Habshan and Bab gas processing facility by 2030.

*Regional 9.7 Mtpa share of global 40 Mtpa of CO2 captured in 2020 (Global CCS Institute, 2020).
*Regional 7.7 Mtpa share of global 40 Mtpa of CO2 captured in 2020 (Global CCS Institute, 2020).
*See for example the revised UAE submission (UAE, 2020) to reduce its 2030 emissions by 23.5 per cent.
*Based on ADNOC-only projection of six-fold increase in EOR CO2 demand by 2030 (S&P Global Platts, 2020a).
*See for example the 2020 S&P Global analysis of oil products’ carbon footprints (S&P Global Platts, 2020b).
*As reported in November 2020 (Chatham House, 2020).
Assembling long-term projections for CCS is fraught with difficulties. Quality analysis of CCS’s technical scope, however, gives an indication of the possibilities. Qamar Energy did an analysis for the GCC region, dividing the annual scope by main industry type (see Figure 17). It is important to emphasise the CO₂ use/stored line is an indicator of absolute scope or potential – not a forecast. It is useful for deriving some indicative volumes of captured CO₂ if the region realised various levels of CCS ambition.

Based on a provisional total of 15–20 Mtpa CO₂ being captured across the GCC region by 2030, the figure represents around 20 per cent of the technical scope in that same year. Maintaining that level of CCS project delivery suggests the region reaching 30 Mtpa by 2035. If efforts to deploy CCS intensify, as trends suggest, assuming a simple doubling of delivery rates (but still only 40 per cent of technical scope) CO₂ capture might even reach 60 Mtpa by 2035. That would align with the rate of regional CCS activity included in the IEA’s Sustainable Development Scenario (SDS). It seems achievable.

While the consensus on action to address climate change is coalescing around a net zero approach, there is little agreement on which of the many possible net zero pathways to take. Some advocate for a minimal role for hydrocarbons and others suggest a much broader approach that embraces all low-carbon options. In our modeling of net zero pathways, significant deployment of CCUS is critical not only for lowering costs but for providing some measure of assurance in achieving climate goals. Without CCUS, the path to net zero relies on a narrow set of technologies directed largely at electrification with renewables. CCUS enables continued use of hydrocarbons in the electricity sector as well as in industrial applications, and encourages blue hydrogen and direct air capture (DAC), for example, all of which could lower costs significantly. The 4Rs pathway to net zero unlocks all reduce, reuse, recycle, and remove options of the Circular Carbon Economy.

Assembling long-term projections for CCS is fraught with difficulties. Quality analysis of CCS’s technical scope, however, gives an indication of the possibilities. Qamar Energy did an analysis for the GCC region, dividing the annual scope by main industry type (see Figure 17). It is important to emphasise the CO₂ use/stored line is an indicator of absolute scope or potential – not a forecast. It is useful for deriving some indicative volumes of captured CO₂ if the region realised various levels of CCS ambition.

Based on a provisional total of 15–20 Mtpa CO₂ being captured across the GCC region by 2030, the figure represents around 20 per cent of the technical scope in that same year. Maintaining that level of CCS project delivery suggests the region reaching 30 Mtpa by 2035. If efforts to deploy CCS intensify, as trends suggest, assuming a simple doubling of delivery rates (but still only 40 per cent of technical scope) CO₂ capture might even reach 60 Mtpa by 2035. That would align with the rate of regional CCS activity included in the IEA’s Sustainable Development Scenario (SDS). It seems achievable.

Emission Performance Standards (EPSs) appear to be a more likely pathway to increased CCS than tax-based incentives, like a carbon tax or ETS. EPSs would actively complement the regional industrial strategy to develop low carbon heavy industry as a form of diversification. For example, EPSs – at least in Oman and the UAE – should ensure that permits for new coal plants are consistent with commitments to low-carbon energy systems. The emergence of CCS-supportive policies in the next two to five years could signal a trend towards the upper band of effort seen in Figure 17.

The relatively new CCS policy opportunity for national governments to participate in CO₂ infrastructure developments to catalyse CO₂ capture investments could have special relevance in the GCC region. The heavy concentration of large emitters along the Persian Gulf coast, and their proximity to EOR users, is good news for the CCS hub and cluster model. There is potential value for all GCC states and it could tempt cross-border collaboration. Such developments should happen, again in the next two to five years, if the region is to reach the upside range of CCS growth rates.

The strength and breadth of drivers for growth in CCS underwrite the region’s bullish faith in CCS prospects for the next 10–15 years. The launch of a new Global CCS Institute office in Abu Dhabi is a demonstration of this confidence.
4.0 PATHWAYS IN FOCUS

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE

4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE

Interest in environmental, social and governance (ESG) related issues continues to grow quickly across the globe. Dedicated international action – such as adopting the UN’s Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) and concluding the Paris Agreement – alongside developing and strengthening domestic climate policies and social and environmental protections, demonstrates this growing impetus. Environmental factors continue to rise in prominence within the consideration of ESG performance. In many instances, however, it is climate change that has become synonymous with these environmental considerations – as companies are now driving a steady increase in reporting and assessment activities.

THE IMPACT OF ESG FACTORS UPON A COMPANY

Companies are expected to closely scrutinise and report on ESG factors that are material to their core activities. How they address them is an increasingly significant consideration for investors, shareholders and the wider public. While many progressive companies aspire to adopt more altruistic and sustainable practices, change is also driven externally. The rise of socially conscious investment practices, the concept of the enlightened shareholder and increased public activism surrounding ESG, encourage progress. A business strategy that incorporates ESG can bring commercial benefits. Research suggests that corporate transparency around ESG is an important consideration for all sectors of the investment community – investors increasingly favour companies that proactively address it. Financials’ consideration of ESG performance and the cost of raising or accessing capital encourages companies to pay closer attention to the impact of their own activities. Research suggests there is an increasingly clear link between higher company performance on ESG and access to lower-cost capital.

The relationship between ESG and commercial performance is perhaps less certain. Commentators in some jurisdictions identify a link, while others remain hesitant.

A SHIFT TO MORE MANDATORY FORMS OF REPORTING

Voluntary reporting of progress against ESG factors is being replaced by more formal approaches, through policy and regulatory intervention, fear of financial risk, or the threat of litigation. In several jurisdictions, financial reporting obligations have been enhanced, and companies are expected to closely scrutinise and report on ESG factors that are material to their core activities. How they address them is an increasingly significant consideration for investors, shareholders and the wider public. While many progressive companies aspire to adopt more altruistic and sustainable practices, change is also driven externally. The rise of socially conscious investment practices, the concept of the enlightened shareholder and increased public activism surrounding ESG, encourage progress. A business strategy that incorporates ESG can bring commercial benefits. Research suggests that corporate transparency around ESG is an important consideration for all sectors of the investment community – investors increasingly favour companies that proactively address it. Financials’ consideration of ESG performance and the cost of raising or accessing capital encourages companies to pay closer attention to the impact of their own activities. Research suggests there is an increasingly clear link between higher company performance on ESG and access to lower-cost capital.
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GOVERNMENTS CREATE AN ENABLING ENVIRONMENT FOR CCS INVESTMENTS

Governments have an important role in supporting CCS investments. They can provide direct financial support, such as capital grants, to reduce the commercial debt CCS projects need. Further, they can mandate specialist financiers—such as development banks, multilateral banks and export credit agencies—to support CCS investments. These specialist financiers can provide low-cost loans and insurance to fund the most high-risk components of CCS projects. Figure 20 illustrates a typical project finance structure that can apply to CCS investments.

CCS NETWORKS REDUCE CROSS-CHAIN RISK

The CCS value chain requires a broad range of skills and knowledge. In most cases—natural gas separation being an exception—the CO₂ capture plant operator will not have the competencies needed for handling and transporting dense phase gases, or appraising and operating geological storage. Similarly, a host plant operator such as a cement manufacturer, will be unlikely to have expertise in CO₂ capture, transport or geological storage. In most cases, a maximum efficiency value chain will involve multiple parties, each specialising in one component. A CCS project requires coordination of multiple investment decisions, all with long lead times. Once a CCS project is operating, interdependency along value chain actors remains. The storage operator relies upon the capture operator to supply CO₂ and vice versa. If any element of the chain fails, the whole chain fails. This creates cross-chain risk. In general, regional colocation of industries and firms creates an industrial ecosystem that benefits all. CCS networks reduce crossparty or cross chain risks by providing capture and storage operators with multiple customers or suppliers.

Cross-border transport networks enable nations lacking good local CO₂ storage resources to undertake CCS projects. For example, industrial regions such as Dunkirk, France, Ghent, Belgium, and Gothenberg, Sweden, are planning to aggregate their industrial CO₂, then liquefy and ship it for storage in the North Sea, including via Norway’s Northern Lights project. The North Sea offers these countries high quality storage resources with big cost advantages.
4.0 PATHWAYS IN FOCUS
4.4 INDUSTRY

CCS is an essential decarbonisation option for the world’s industrial businesses. Key emissions intensive sectors such as chemicals, iron and steel, and cement are sometimes referred to as ‘hard to abate’. These sectors cannot make their products without producing CO2. Switching to renewable energy or focusing on energy efficiency is unable to solve a substantial fraction of their emissions.

The global cement sector, which emits approximately 4.1 billion tonnes of CO2 each year (3), has a considerable abatement challenge. Although exploring options to substitute fossil fuel use and be more energy efficient, the sector must still contend with CO2 produced by its core calcination reaction. Limestone (CaCO3) is split into calcium oxide (CaO) and CO2. For every tonne of calcium oxide (the primary constituent of cement), 0.785 tonnes of CO2 will be produced, regardless of what fuel or power sources the sector uses. This process CO2 alone represents over 2 billion tonnes a year of CO2 emissions. For this CO2, CCS is an essential option.

Post-combustion style technologies may capture the mixed combustion CO2 and process it. This approach is being developed at HeidelbergCement’s Norcem Brevik plant in Norway, a 0.4 Mtpa capture facility, currently under construction (8). Aker
Carbon Capture has been chosen as the Engineer, Procure, Construct contractor and technology provider for this project (5). HeidelbergCement recently announced a larger ‘carbon neutral’ 1.8 Mtpa capture project at the Site Cement plant in Sweden (6). The Site development will be significant – not only for its scale but also for its intention to create the world’s first carbon neutral cement facility.

An alternative CCS pathway for cement is to separate the calcination CO2 by heating the raw feedstock and keeping it separate from combustion gases. This is seen in the LELAC 2 demonstration project in Belgium, which incorporates Calix’s new calcination technology. High purity process CO2 is captured as part of the calcination process, ready for compression and transport.

Global iron and steelmaking is another large contributor to global CO2 emissions, producing 2.6 billion tonnes of direct CO2 emissions in 2019 (7). Their interest in CCS seems to be growing. With a large and mature asset installed worldwide, and plants that have lives in excess of 50 years, retrofitting will be a necessary option. There is just one operating CCS plant in the iron and steel sector (Emirates Steel Industries’ Abu Dhabi plant) and one under development (Tata Steel’s Everest project in the Netherlands). The sector’s interest in CCS will need to translate into many more active projects for this industry to meet its decarbonisation goals.

Historically, aluminium smelting has had a large emissions impact through its use of grid electricity, which is predominantly coal fired. Aluminium producers now use grid power which is predominantly coal fired. Aluminium smelting is a very mature electrochemical process in which carbon anodes oxidise when alumina is reduced to aluminium metal, forming CO2. The CO2 is ducted away from the smelting pots with fresh air, forming very dilute CO2 streams. CCS is a challenging solution due to the high capital and operating costs of capturing dilute CO2 but is the best available approach for the world’s large installed smelting fleet.

Cleaner smelting technologies that don’t use carbon anodes are under development, but it’s unlikely these will be deployed at the scale necessary for the aluminium sector to reach net zero by 2050. They are also unsuitable for retrofitting.

4.5 HYDROGEN

ROLE OF CLEAN HYDROGEN

Clean hydrogen can be produced in three ways:

- from fossil fuels with CCS (blue hydrogen)
- from biomass
- from electrolyzers powered by renewable electricity (green hydrogen) or nuclear power

It could deliver multi gigatonnes (Gt) of abatement annually, when used in various industries, transport and stationary energy. The Hydrogen Council estimates that hydrogen demand could exceed 500 Mt by 2050, delivering up to 8 Gt a year of abatement (8).

Achieving 6 GtGpa of abatement, requires that demand for, and supply of, clean hydrogen increase. Two factors critical to realising this opportunity, are scale and cost:

- production scale must rise from approximately 1 Mtpa in 2020 to over 500 Mtpa by 2050
- production costs must be low enough to compete with fossil fuels – taking into account the current policy environment – to stimulate demand.

Carbon Capture, Utilisation and Storage technologies (CCUS) are a crucial element in the decarbonisation of industry. All efforts towards carbon neutrality will only succeed with an open, transparent and unbiased debate on the most effective technologies for avoiding CO2 emissions. The roll-out of renewable energies and the improvement of energy efficiency alone will not be sufficient to meet this challenge.

Especially for abating industrial process emissions, economically viable alternatives to CCUS are not yet available. In view of both energy-intensive industries and the ambitious climate targets in Germany, we need to have a serious discussion about CCUS as an important component of the climate protection toolkit.

CCUS will also be an important stepping stone on the path to net zero emissions as part of the politically desired development of a hydrogen economy. It is clear that the use of carbon avoidance technologies is inevitably connected with their social and political acceptance. Acceptance requires as a minimum condition, education about facts.

“CARBON CAPTURE, UTILISATION AND STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES (CCUS) ARE A CRUCIAL ELEMENT IN THE DECARBONISATION OF INDUSTRY.”

SIEGFRIED RUSSWurm
President, The Federation of German Industries (BDI)
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SCALING UP PRODUCTION OF CLEAN HYDROGEN

Blue hydrogen is very well positioned for rapid scale-up, having been produced in commercial quantities (hundreds to over 1,000 tonnes every day in each facility) since 1982. In comparison, the world’s largest electrolysis hydrogen production facility, powered by wind or solar energy at Fukushima, Japan, can produce – assuming sufficient battery storage – around 2.4 tonnes a day of green hydrogen.

There are currently seven commercial facilities producing blue hydrogen. Their total combined production capacity is 1.3 to 1.5 TPa, depending on assumed availability.

To rapidly scale up clean hydrogen production, certain resources are essential. The best clean hydrogen production method in a specific location is determined by available land, water, electricity, coal, gas and pore space for CO₂ storage:

- Clean hydrogen using electrolysis, or coal or gas with CCS, requires similar amounts of water – around 6 kg/kgH₂ for gas plus CCS and 9 kg/kgH₂ for coal plus CCS or electrolysis (11,12).
- Electrolysis has extremely high electricity demands of 55 kWh/kgH₂ (13) compared to 1.91 kWh/kgH₂ for gas plus CCS and 3.48 kWh/kgH₂ for coal plus CCS – including electricity to produce the gas or coal (8,13).
- Renewable hydrogen requires sufficient land to host the wind and/or solar photovoltaic (PV) generation capacity.
- Fossil hydrogen with CCS requires land for CO₂ pipelines and injection infrastructure. It also needs coal or gas, and pore space for the geological storage of CO₂.

The Asian Renewable Energy Hub (AREH) project in Australia’s remote north-west which, if constructed, will be the world’s largest green hydrogen project, plans to produce 10 Mtpa of ammonia. This requires approximately 1.76 Mtpa of hydrogen, produced by the electrolysis of water and powered by a combined 23 GW of solar PV and wind capacity located on 5,750 square kilometres (km²) of land (14).

Figure 26 compares resource requirements for renewable hydrogen based on the AREH project, to the same quantity of hydrogen produced from gas or coal with CCS.

Compared to renewable hydrogen, blue hydrogen production requires modest amounts of land and electricity. For example, producing 1.76 Mtpa of hydrogen (equivalent to one AREH project) via steam methane reforming (SMR) with CCS would require around 14 km² of land, a 500 km CO₂ pipeline in a 20 m wide corridor, 2 km² for the plant, and four CO₂ injection wells situated over a 2 km² area.

Production of blue hydrogen also requires access to coal or gas and pore space for the geological storage of CO₂. Both the coal and gas industries are mature with well-established supply chains, so accessing coal or gas to support blue hydrogen production in any location is feasible. Global resources for geological storage of CO₂ are also more than sufficient for CCS to play its full role in hydrogen production – storage for CCS is ample under any climate mitigation scenario for all applications in all industries. To illustrate, in an extreme hypothetical case where all 530 Mtpa of clean hydrogen produced in 2050 is blue hydrogen, annual CO₂ storage requirements would be just 7.6 billion tonnes. This compares to a global storage capacity measured in thousands of billions of tonnes.

**COST OF PRODUCTION OF CLEAN HYDROGEN**

Production costs for clean hydrogen are not just affected by capital requirements. The price of natural gas affects blue hydrogen costs and the quality of the renewable energy resource (which impacts electricity price and capacity of electrolyses) affects green. Overall, hydrogen produced from coal or gas with CCS is the lowest cost clean hydrogen. It is expected to remain so, except in regions with access to the best renewable resources and lowest-priced renewable electricity.

In addition to the direct capital and operational costs associated with hydrogen production shown in Figure 28, capital is required for essential off-site infrastructure that supports production:

- For green hydrogen, supporting infrastructure includes constructing renewable electricity generation capacity and where necessary, associated transmission lines.
- For blue hydrogen, supporting infrastructure includes CO₂ pipelines and the development of geological storage resources.

The capital cost of essential supporting infrastructure is estimated in Figure 28 for two extreme scenarios – producing 530 Mt of blue or green hydrogen (the potential 2050 demand estimated by the Hydrogen Council). Supporting 530 Mt of green hydrogen would cost over US$8 billion, compared to approximately US$300 billion for blue hydrogen. This covers pipelines, electricity generation and distribution (16).

There are many assumptions built into these cost estimates. While not definitive, they illustrate that the essential infrastructure required to support production of climate-relevant quantities of green hydrogen could cost 20 or 30 times more than the infrastructure required to support production of the same quantity of clean hydrogen using fossil fuels with CCS.

---

**Figure 28** CURRENT AND LONG-TERM H₂ PRODUCTION COSTS

The capital cost of essential supporting infrastructure is estimated in Figure 28 for two extreme scenarios – producing 530 Mt of blue or green hydrogen (the potential 2050 demand estimated by the Hydrogen Council). Supporting 530 Mt of green hydrogen would cost over US$8 billion, compared to approximately US$300 billion for blue hydrogen. This covers pipelines, electricity generation and distribution (16).

There are many assumptions built into these cost estimates. While not definitive, they illustrate that the essential infrastructure required to support production of climate-relevant quantities of green hydrogen could cost 20 or 30 times more than the infrastructure required to support production of the same quantity of clean hydrogen using fossil fuels with CCS.
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**Figure 29** RESOURCES REQUIRED FOR THE PRODUCTION OF 1.76 M TONNES OF H₂ FROM COAL OR GAS WITH CCS OR ELECTROLYSIS POWERED BY RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY

Land requirements for the electrolysis pathway is taken from the AREH Project website. It assumes a combined 48 per cent capacity factor for wind and solar PV and 55 kWh/kg of H₂ via electrolysis (13). Nine kg water is required for each kg of H₂ for electrolysis (13). Nine kg water is required for each kg of H₂ for coal gasification with CCS (11). Land requirement for CO₂-CCS and SMR-CCS assumes 500 km CO₂ pipeline in a 20 m wide corridor, 2 km for the plant and 10 injection wells over 5 km for CO₂-CCS, and 4 injection wells over 2 km for SMR-CCS. CO₂ captured requiring geological storage for each kg of H₂ for 21.5 kg for CO₂-CCS and 7.2 kg for SMR-CCS. SMR = Steam Methane Reforming, CCS = Carbon Capture and Storage.
4.6 TECHNOLOGY-BASED CO2 REMOVAL

In contrast to most CO2 abatement technologies that reduce emissions from point sources, negative emissions technologies (NETs) withdraw CO2 from the atmosphere and securely store it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED OPERATIONAL DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wabash Valley Resources Hydrogen Plant</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Liquide Refinery Rotterdam</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Peukau Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell Refinery Rotterdam</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil Benelux Refinery</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Products Refinery Rotterdam</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascen Hydrogen</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Systems Carbon Negative Energy Plant - Central Valley</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseum Refinery</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barents Blue Clean Ammonia with CCS</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Gas Network H21</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenna Hub - ENI Hydrogen</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen to Humber Saltend</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2026–2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HyNet North West</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polaris CCS Project</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Zero Teeside - BP H2Teeside</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humber Zero - Phillips 66 Humber Refinery</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAU Central Sutawesi Clean Ammonia with CCS</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Late 2020s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two main classes of NETs exist: those based on photosynthesis (biomass energy with CCS – BECCS) and direct removal of CO2 from the atmosphere (Direct Air CO2 Capture and Storage – DACCS).

In order to meet global net zero targets, a large fraction of mitigation projects will be about reducing emissions from existing sources. However, some emissions will still be released into the atmosphere, even if the emissions rates are much smaller today. For a true net zero outcome, NETs will be essential to balance out residual positive emissions. NETs will also be required well beyond net zero, to further draw down atmospheric CO2 over the long term, reducing the impacts of climate change.

Unlike forestry-based CO2 removal projects, BECCS and DACCS can provide long term security for stored CO2, with no vulnerability to weather, fire, pests and disease. DACCS offers scalability and is not limited by the availability of arable land. Natural solutions will play an essential role in our response to climate change, but are unlikely by themselves to sufficiently deliver the negative emissions the world needs to meet net zero targets.

4.7 MINERAL CARBONATION

Mineral carbonation is a geological process in which CO2 reacts with rocks to form stable mineral products known as carbonates (19). Basalts are prevalent globally (20) and have favourable morphology and mineralogy for mineral carbonation storage. These and many other reactive rocks are conveniently located in regions where conventional CO2 storage (for example, depleted oil and gas fields) is generally absent.

Mineral carbonation is currently employed for carbon storage in two different ways:

- Exposing mafic or ultramafic rocks (those rich in calcium, magnesium, and iron, such as basalt) to the atmosphere, or CO2-saturated air, can result in mineral carbonation. This process has been used to remediate mining waste and produce construction materials. For example, CO2-rich flue gas has been injected into processed kimberlite mine waste above ground (known as ex situ) during field trials at a Canadian mine (21).

- CO2 is dissolved in water and then pumped, via injection wells, into porous and permeable subsurface basalt formations – Carbfix’s model. (See 4.6 DACCS). The Carbfix CCS Facility in Iceland has been storing CO2 using mineral carbonation since 2014. The project permanently stores CO2 in basalt rock formed by volcanic flows. Around 25 tonnes of water is required for each tonne of CO2. The Carbfix project is injecting 12,000 tonnes annually into shallow basalt (400–800 m depth) through 12 wells. Analysis from their pilot phase shows more than 95 per cent of CO2 was mineralised within two years (22). New research has demonstrated this water-intensive process may also be achieved with seawater – an abundant resource (23). A version of this method was applied in 2013 at the Wallula project in the US state of Washington (24). Here, 1,000 tonnes of supercritical CO2 was directly injected into porous and permeable basalt – without using water as the carrier fluid. Mineralisation rates were also rapid, with 60 per cent of the injected CO2 mineralising within two years (24).

The storage potential of mineral carbonation has been estimated at 100,000–250,000 GtCO2 (22). This number incorporates all basaltic rocks representing 70 per cent of the world’s ocean basins and five per cent of the Earth’s continents (22). The potential for carbon storage via mineral carbonation is significant but, like all forms of CO2 storage, additional operational projects at-scale are required to support its use.

BECCS projects leverage photosynthesis to capture CO2 and store it in biomass. This biomass is used for energy – to create biofuels or via direct combustion. The CO2 produced is captured and stored in the subsurface.

Bioethanol is an excellent, low-cost opportunity for BECCS. High purity CO2 from fermentation requires only dehydration and compression before gases are stored. As this CO2 recently came from the atmosphere, its capture and storage results in negative emissions. The US Summit Carbon Solutions bioethanol CO2 network project will transport CO2 from 31 individual bioethanol plants, offering economical shared transport and storage. With a capacity of just under 8 Mtpa, it will be the world’s single largest BECCS network.

The waste to energy (WTE) sector is another prime opportunity for negative emissions. WTE plants typically combusst sorted municipal solid waste. With a fuel that typically contains over 50 per cent biomass (such as food scraps and green waste), a plant that captures greater than the non-biogenic fraction of CO2 will result in negative emissions. One advanced project is Fortum Oslo Varme CCS at their WTE plant in Klemetsrud, Norway. This is planned to capture 0.4 Mtpa of CO2, helping significantly lower emissions from the city of Oslo. Storage will be in the Northern Lights project (part of the Langskip network) west of Norway in the North Sea.

Biomass power generation is another opportunity for BECCS. The formerly coal-fired Drax power station in England has been converted to use processed biomass fuel. In June 2021, Drax signed a deal with Mitsubishi Heavy Industries to use their KS-21 capture technology with a capacity of 4.3 Mtpa, this will be the world’s single largest bioenergy capture plant.

POLICY DEVELOPMENTS

Policies to incentivise technology-based CDR are yet to emerge for several reasons. With a large number of net zero targets in place, the more difficult task of translating them into meaningful policies that lead to emissions reductions and CDR is just beginning. There is concern among policymakers that if emissions reductions are not prioritised, CDR could be used to delay climate action. EU Climate Law recently sought to tackle this by introducing a cap on the contribution of net removals to the EU’s 2030 climate target.

Net zero scenarios vary in how they foresee the role of CDR. The scale of needed removal depends on the steepness of the emissions mitigation action over the coming decades and once net zero targets are reached, will become the main driver of climate ambition (18). Interest in technology-based CDR has substantially increased in voluntary carbon markets, as discussed in section 2.3.
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### 5.1 COMMERCIAL CCS FACILITIES AND PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>FACILITY STATUS</th>
<th>OPERATION DATE</th>
<th>FACILITY INDUSTRY</th>
<th>CAPTURE CAPACITY Mtpa CO₂</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>FACILITY STORAGE CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrell Natural Gas Processing Plant (formerly Val Verde Natural Gas Plant)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enid Fertilizer</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Fertiliser Production</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shute Creek Gas Processing Plant</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOL Szank field CO₂ EOR</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleipner CO₂ Storage</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Plains Synfuels Plant and Weyburn-Midale</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Synthetic Natural Gas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Energy CO₂-EOR</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinopec Zhongyuan Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Chemical Production</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snøhvit CO₂ Storage</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arklow CO₂ Compression Facility</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Century Plant</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrobras Santos Basin Pre-Salt Oil Field CCS</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonanza BioEnergy CCUS EOR</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffeyville Gasification Plant</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Fertiliser Production</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Products Steam Methane Reformer</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Enhanced Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost Cabin Gas Plant</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS Nitrogen</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Fertiliser Production</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary Dam 3 Carbon Capture and Storage Facility</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Various Options Considered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quest</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uthmaniyah CO₂-EOR Demonstration</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karamay Dunhuaz Oil Technology CCUS EOR Project</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Methanol Production</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Dhabi CCS (Phase 1 being Emirates Steel Industries)</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Iron And Steel Production</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Industrial Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petra Nova Carbon Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNPC Jinli Oil Field CO₂ EOR</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gargan Carbon Dioxide Injection</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatar LNG CCS</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta Carbon Trunk Line (ACTL) with North West Redwater Partnership’s Sturgeon Refinery CO₂ Stream</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta Carbon Trunk Line (ACTL) with Nutrien CO₂ Stream</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Fertiliser Production</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guodian Taizhou Power Station Carbon Capture</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>In Construction</td>
<td>Early 2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinopac Qiu Petrochemical CCS</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>In Construction</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Chemical Production</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norcem Brevik - Cement Plant</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>In Construction</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Cement Production</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ZEBROS Project</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>In Construction</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Interest - Hereford Ethanol Plant</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Interest - Peavine Ethanol Plant</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washah CO₂ Sequestration</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Fertiliser Production</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan Generating Station Carbon Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santos Cooper Basin CCS Project</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Natural Gas Production</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport Energy Moonie CCUS project</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Dedicated Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Liquide Refinery Rotterdam CCS</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExxonMobil Benelux Refinery CCS</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell Refinery Rotterdam CCS</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Products Refinery Rotterdam CCS</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkinson Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otto-Textron Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenandoah Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood River Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central City Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdeen Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casablanca Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galva Bioenergy Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>Dedicated Geothermal Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.1 COMMERCIAL CCS FACILITIES AND PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>FACILITY STATUS</th>
<th>OPERATION DATE</th>
<th>FACILITY INDUSTRY</th>
<th>CAPTURE CAPACITY Mtpa CO2 MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>CAPACITY STORAGE CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goldfield Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Junction Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite Falls Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heron Lake Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huren Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambert Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawler Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcus Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason City Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mina Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondako Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plainview Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redfield Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siuso Center Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steamboat Rock Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterloo Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth Biorefinery Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortum Oslo Verne - Klemetsrud Waste to Energy Plant</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Waste Incineration</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyote Clean Power Project</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm Exergi BECCS</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Bioenergy</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copenhagen (Amager Bakke) Waste to Energy CCS</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Waste Incineration</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles Methanol</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Chemical Production</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Dhabi CCS Phase 2: Natural gas processing plant</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Earth Energy Facility Carbon Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Ethanol production</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Tundra</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2025 - 2026</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Various Options Considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humber Zero - VPI Immingham Power Plant CCS</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humber Refinery CCS</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp Refinery CCS</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>Chemical Production</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustang Station of Golden Spread Electric Cooperative Carbon Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
<td>Direct Air Capture</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Daniel Carbon Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Gentlemen Station Carbon Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie State Generation Station Carbon Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Advanced Development</td>
<td>Middle 2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest AgEnergy Blue Flint ethanol CCS</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocys’ Bayou Fuels Negative Emission Project</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Chemical Production</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Poukai Hydrogen Production with CCS</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Trail Energy BECCS Project</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Ethanol Production</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Systems Carbon Negative Energy Plant - Central Valley</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Power Generation and Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preem Refinery CCS</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorn Hydrogen</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HyNet North West - Hanson Cement CCS</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Cement Production</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repsol Sakakemang Carbon Capture and Injection</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenna Hub - ENI Power CCS</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenna Hub - ENI Hydrogen CCS</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Zero Teesside - BP H2Teeside</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Zero Teesside - Suez Waste to Energy CCS</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Waste Incineration</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEBRA Carbon Hub - Keady 3 CCS Power Station</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp Refinery CCS</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>Chemical Production</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp Refinery CCS</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>Chemical Production</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea-CCS 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dedicated Geologic Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinopec Shengli Power Plant CCS</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TITLE</td>
<td>COUNTRY</td>
<td>OPERATION STATUS</td>
<td>OPERATION DATE</td>
<td>FACILITY INDUSTRY</td>
<td>CAPTURE CAPACITY MIN MAX</td>
<td>FACILITY STORAGE CODE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Johnston Plant Carbon Capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NextDecade Rio Grande LNG CCS</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2020s</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>5 5</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caledonia Clean Energy</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>3 3</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen 2 Magnum (H2M)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Fork Integrated Commercial Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>3 3</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Zero Teeside - CCGT Facility</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1.7 6</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Illinois Clean Fuels Project</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Chemical Production</td>
<td>4.1 8.1</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Gas Network H21 North of England</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>1.5 1.5</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen to Humber Saltend</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2026-2027</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>1 1.2</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drax BECCS Project</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1 4.3</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ermis Cork CCS</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>2.5 2.5</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nautical Energy Blue Methanol</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Late 2020s</td>
<td>Methanol Production</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Zero Teeside - NET Power Plant</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Late 2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAU Central Sulawesi Clean Fuel Ammonia Production with CCUS</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Late 2020s</td>
<td>Fertiliser Production</td>
<td>0.1 2</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan NET Power Plant</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Late 2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>0.95 0.95</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tata Steel project EVEREST</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Late 2020s</td>
<td>Iron and Steel Production</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorn CCS</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing and Refining</td>
<td>0.34 0.34</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HyNet North West</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>1.5 1.5</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LafargeHolcim Cement Carbon capture</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Mid 2020s</td>
<td>Cement Production</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barents Blue Clean Ammonia with CCS</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Fertiliser Production</td>
<td>1.2 2</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petronas Karawali Gas Field Development Project</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2020s</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polaris CCS Project</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Middle 2020s</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>0.75 0.75</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Carbon Capture Power Complex</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>Middle 2020s</td>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorn Direct Air Capture Facility</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Early Development</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Direct Air Capture</td>
<td>0.5 1</td>
<td>Dedicated Geological Storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TITLE</td>
<td>COUNTRY</td>
<td>FACILITY INDUSTRY</td>
<td>CAPTURE CAPACITY Mtpa CO2 MIN MAX</td>
<td>TRANSPORT TYPE</td>
<td>FACILITY STORAGE CODE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Dhabi Cluster</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing, Hydrogen Production, Iron and Steel Production</td>
<td>2.7 5.0</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorn</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production, Natural Gas Power, Natural Gas Processing, Direct Air Capture</td>
<td>5.0 10</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta Carbon Grid</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>To be determined</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta Carbon Trunk Line (ACTL)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Fertiliser Production, Hydrogen Production, Chemical Production</td>
<td>1.7 14.6</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp/PiC</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production, Chemical Production, Oil Refining</td>
<td>9.0 –</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aramis</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Oil refining, Hydrogen Production, Waste Incineration, Chemical Production, Steelmaking</td>
<td>20 – Pipeline, Ship</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athos</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production, Iron and Steel Production, Chemical Production</td>
<td>1.0 6.0</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Various options Considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barents Blue</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Chemical Production, Hydrogen Production, Waste Incineration</td>
<td>1.8 –</td>
<td>Shale</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 Copenhagen</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Waste Incineration, Natural Gas Power</td>
<td>3.0 –</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CarbonConnectDelta (Ghent)</td>
<td>Belgium &amp; Netherlands</td>
<td>Steelmaking, Chemical Production</td>
<td>6.5 –</td>
<td>Pipeline, Shale</td>
<td>Under Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CarbonNet</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing, Hydrogen, Fertilisers, Waste to Energy, DAC</td>
<td>2.0 5.0</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CarbonSafe Illinois</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Coal Fired Power, Ethanol Production</td>
<td>2.0 15.0</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Various options Considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dartignan</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Aluminium production, Steelmaking</td>
<td>10.0 –</td>
<td>Pipeline, Ship</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton Hub</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Natural Gas Power, Hydrogen Production, Oil Refining, Chemical Production, Cement Production</td>
<td>– 10 Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensand</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Waste Incineration, Cement Production</td>
<td>3.5 –</td>
<td>Pipeline, Ship</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston Ship Channel</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>– 100.0</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humber Zero</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production, Natural Gas Power</td>
<td>8.0 –</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HyNet North West</td>
<td>Wales &amp; England</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production</td>
<td>1.0 –</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Storage Corridor</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Coal Power, biobehanol</td>
<td>6.5 –</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Mid-Continent Stacked</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Coal Fired Power, Cement Production, Ethanol Production, Chemical Production</td>
<td>1.9 19.4</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Various options Considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Hub</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Waste Incineration, Cement Production</td>
<td>1.5 5.0</td>
<td>Pipeline, Shale</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Zero Teeside</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production, Iron and Steel Production, Oil Refining, Chemical Production</td>
<td>5 10</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota CarbonSafe</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Iron and Steel Production</td>
<td>3.0 17.0</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Various Options Considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrobras Santos Basin CCS Cluster</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Natural Gas Processing</td>
<td>3.0 –</td>
<td>Direct Injection</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>FACILITY INDUSTRY</th>
<th>CAPTURE CAPACITY Mtpa CO2 MIN MAX</th>
<th>TRANSPORT TYPE</th>
<th>FACILITY STORAGE CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porthos</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production, Chemical Production</td>
<td>2.0 5.0</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Depoited Oil &amp; Gas Reservoirs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenna Hub</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production, Natural Gas Power</td>
<td>– 4.0</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Depoited Oil &amp; Gas Reservoirs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Wales Industrial Cluster</td>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>Natural Gas Power, Hydrogen Production, Oil Refining, Chemical Production</td>
<td>9.0 –</td>
<td>Pipeline, Ship</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit Carbon Solutions</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Bioethanol</td>
<td>7.9 –</td>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valero Blackrock</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Coal Fired Power, Natural Gas Power, Hydrogen Production, Chemical Production, Cement Production, Biomass Power</td>
<td>1.5 18.0 Direct Injection Various Options Considered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xinjiang Junggar Basin CCS Hub</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Coal Fired Power, Hydrogen Production, Chemical Production</td>
<td>0.2 3.0</td>
<td>Pipeline, Tank Truck</td>
<td>Enhanced Oil Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Carbon Humber</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Hydrogen Production, Iron and Steel Production, Chemical Production, Ethanol Production</td>
<td>– 18.3 Pipeline</td>
<td>Deep Saline Formations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dissolution Trapping

Dissolution trapping is a simple mechanism which occurs when CO₂ comes into contact with a brine and the CO₂ is able to dissolve into the brine, forming a solution. The ability of CO₂ to dissolve in a brine (solubility) is dependent on the temperature and pressure conditions of a reservoir. A CO₂-saturated brine solution is denser than the unsaturated brine and sinks to the bottom of the reservoir, where it is considered permanently stored. Over time, the CO₂-saturated brine diffuses and disperses within the regional hydrogeological system of the wider basin. Dissolution of CO₂ into brine happens immediately on contact, but dissolution trapping isn’t critical to storage until decadal- to century-time scales in conventional storage reservoirs.

Mineral Trapping

The interaction of CO₂ with the brine and the reservoir lithology can lead to mineral trapping. Injected CO₂ can chemically react with the minerals in a rock to form stable, product minerals—often carbonate minerals. CO₂-brine-rock reactions and associated product minerals depend on reservoir pressure, temperature, and mineralogy. Fortunately, reservoirs targeted for CO₂ storage have favourable conditions for mineralisation. Mineral carbonation begins immediately on injection, but is generally a minor component of a storage project until thousands of years have passed. At this time scale, in a conventional storage reservoir, the majority of CO₂ will have already been permanently stored by the three mechanisms above. However, injection under certain conditions and into particular rocks (such as basalt) can result in rapid mineralisation of the majority of the CO₂ during the lifetime of the storage operation.

GLOBAL STORAGE MAP

The Global CCS Institute has completed a review of sedimentary basins around the world for their storage suitability. Basins were ranked as unlikely, possible, suitable or highly suitable. The suitability ranking combined spatial analysis of existing geological, energy, and infrastructure data. The spatial analysis utilised findings from previously published storage assessments, the Institute’s CO2RE database, as well as internal technical expertise.

Two important observations can be made from the distribution of suitable basins. First, those nations with suitable basins are generally near emission-intensive regions. This match will facilitate CCS development. Parts of Europe, the USA, the Middle East, Russia, and some nations in SE Asia fit this category.

Second, the distribution of suitable basins correlates with nations which have formally assessed their sedimentary basins for geological storage. Basins which have undergone detailed assessment achieve higher scores in our analysis. For example, a basin assessed as part of a global desktop review scores lower than one which has been critically appraised for storage. It’s important to note, however, a detailed assessment does not guarantee a high suitability ranking. Some European basins, for example, have undergone detailed analysis, yet only achieve a low ranking due to their geologic characteristics.

Understanding the global distribution of suitable and accessible storage sites is required to enable the full-scale deployment of CCS.
This second phase of the catalogue adds 715 sites across 18 nations, resulting in a total of 13,000 GtCO\textsubscript{2} of storage resources across the entire catalogue. Significantly, resources categorised as ‘discovered’ – those which are confirmed by subsurface data – continued to grow to over 550 GtCO\textsubscript{2}. Unfortunately, only 254 MtCO\textsubscript{2} of resources have been categorised as ‘commercial.’ Commercial resources must be ready for a storage operation to proceed and have:

- a regulatory environment that enables CO\textsubscript{2} storage
- been thoroughly analysed using subsurface data and confirmed as technically feasible.

An order of magnitude difference between total resources and those proven commercial resources demonstrates an incredible opportunity to explore, develop, and appraise storage resources globally.

### TABLE 1: RESULTS OF THE 2021 CO\textsubscript{2} STORAGE RESOURCE CATALOGUE IN GT (GIGATONNES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stored Capacity</th>
<th>Contingent</th>
<th>Inaccessible Sub-Commercial</th>
<th>Prospective</th>
<th>Inaccessible Undiscovered</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>17.556</td>
<td>13.400</td>
<td>470.953</td>
<td>502.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>55.288</td>
<td>202.691</td>
<td>7.803.826</td>
<td>8.061.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.111</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60.565</td>
<td>77.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>201.281</td>
<td>203.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.702</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
<td>39.813</td>
<td>16.200</td>
<td>37.550</td>
<td>93.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89.540</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.260</td>
<td>100.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>145.573</td>
<td>145.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.226</td>
<td>31.000</td>
<td>116.040</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>152.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.460</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.395</td>
<td>15.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>64.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>4.795</td>
<td>5.736</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.066.900</td>
<td>3.077.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>25.625</td>
<td>18.016</td>
<td>360.270</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>403.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21.330</td>
<td>21.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.469</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.2168</td>
<td>165.907</td>
<td>385.203</td>
<td>3356.488</td>
<td>12.959.980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIGURE 31: RESULTS OF THE 2021 CO\textsubscript{2} STORAGE RESOURCE CATALOGUE IN GT (GIGATONNES)

### FIGURE 32: TOTAL STORAGE RESOURCES IN GT (GIGATONNES)
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3.0 REGIONAL OVERVIEWS


Find Out More
The Global CCS Institute provides knowledge, data, networking and
advocacy services to its members and offers a comprehensive range
of consultancy services related to CCS.

Any Questions
Contact a member of the Advocacy and Communications Team
globalccsinstitute.com/contact
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Level 16, 360 Elizabeth Street,
Melbourne VIC 3000
Australia
P +61 (0)3 8620 7300
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